

Facebook March 2019 monthly update on implementation of the Code of Practice on Disinformation

Introduction

This report outlines actions taken during March by Facebook to take forward the implementation of the EU Code of Practice on Disinformation, and in an Annex includes the baseline report in which we set out our overall approach to implementing the Code. Facebook's priority during the last month has been the launch of two new ads transparency services: the global Ad Library and the EU political and issue ads transparency tools.

I. Scrutiny of ad placements

One of the most effective approaches to fighting false news is removing the economic incentives for traffickers of disinformation. We've found that a lot of fake news is financially motivated: spammers make money by masquerading as legitimate news publishers and posting hoaxes that get people to visit their sites. As we noted in earlier updates, we show organic content containing [clickbait](#) headlines, [cloaking](#), or [ad farms](#) lower in news feed thus reducing its distribution. Additionally, our ads policies do not allow the provision of ads which contain '[Low Quality or Disruptive Content](#)', '[Misleading or False Content](#)' or are [Circumventing our Systems](#). In March 2019, we identified and actioned on over 600,000 ads in the EU that were identified as problematic for these reasons.

On 28 March we rolled out a new [Ad Library](#) globally, covering Facebook and Instagram. This is a major accomplishment for the team that will bring more transparency to ads on our platform. The Library can be accessed by anyone, with or without a Facebook account, and includes:

- All active ads for all Pages globally
- An archive of political or issues ads for selected countries (including the whole of the EU). The archive will retain ads for 7 years.

The Library holds information for all Pages in the Ad Library, including:

- Page creation date, previous Page merges, and name changes.
- Primary country location of people who manage a Page, provided it has a large audience, or runs ads related to politics or issues in select countries.

The Library features enhanced search capabilities. For example, typeahead suggestions are provided and searches will be saved for people logged into Facebook. Searching by Page will show results for all active ads as well as political or issue ads (for selected countries, including the whole of the EU). Searching by keyword will show results for political or issue ads where these are available. As explained further below, there will be additional spend

and targeting information in the Library covering political and issue ads.

II. Political advertising and issue-based advertising

On 28 March, Facebook also launched its Ad Transparency tools in the EU. To help prevent abuse and interference, all EU advertisers will need to be authorized in their country to run ads related to the European Parliamentary elections. We will ask them to submit documents and use technical checks to confirm their identity and location. We will be using a combination of automated systems and user reporting to enforce this policy. Within the first 24 hours of the tools being launched, we saw rapid adoption, with people across all 27 EU countries submitting IDs to start the authorizations process.

This will mean that all the advertisers who are reaching people with ads identified as related to politics or issues have been authorized as being in their country and will be required to provide accurate information about who they are. This will help relevant authorities investigate them if they have any suspicions. There are many issues that only election regulators can effectively decide, for example if rules on campaign finance have been followed, and our new tools will help them in this important work.

To increase transparency, ads related to politics and issues on Facebook and Instagram in the EU will need to be clearly labeled — including a “Paid for by” disclosure from the advertiser at the top of the ad. This means that users can see who is paying for the ad and, for any business or organization, their contact details. When you click on the label, you’ll be able to see more information such as the campaign spend associated with an individual ad, how many people saw it and their age, location and gender. We are inviting all political campaigns to start the ads authorization process now and we will start to block political or issue ads that have not been properly registered from mid-April.

For countries in the EU, the Ad Library will include information about ads related to politics and issues of importance, including funding entities, reach and spend, including all-time spend and spend over the last week. All-time and weekly spend were previously only available in the Ad Library Report.

Example political ads library search result

deutschland

36 results

Search results display ads with text that matched your keyword search term. Only ads related to politics or issues of importance are included.


Launched April 2019

Active
Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

SPD
Sponsored • Paid for by SPD

Europa muss den Sozialabbau stoppen. Nur wer den sozialen Frieden auch in den wirtschaftlich schwächeren Ländern Europas fördert, sichert auf lange Sicht den Sozialstaat und die soziale Marktwirtschaft in Deutschland.



Europa ist die Antwort für faire Löhne.
www.spd.de

Learn More

See Ad Details


Active
Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

Junge Union Deutschlands
Sponsored • Paid for by Junge Union Deutschlands

Nun ist die Bundesregierung im Europäischen Rat gefordert. Meinungsäußerung, Satire und Unterhaltung im Internet sind für uns ein Ausdruck von Freiheit. Keine Chance den Upload-Filtern!

Im Interview mit VICE Deutschland plädiert unser Bundesvorsitzender Tilmann Kuban weiter für einen Stopp der umstrittenen Direktive. Viel Spaß beim Lesen des Artikels!



Warum die Bundesregierung die Uploadfilter stoppen muss
Ein Gastbeitrag von Tilmann Kuban, Vorsitzender der Jungen Union Deutschlands.
VICE.COM


See Ad Details

Active
Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

SPD
Sponsored • Paid for by SPD

Europa muss den Sozialabbau stoppen. Nur wer den sozialen Frieden auch in den wirtschaftlich schwächeren Ländern Europas fördert, sichert auf lange Sicht den Sozialstaat und die soziale Marktwirtschaft in Deutschland.



Europa ist die Antwort für faire Löhne.
www.spd.de

Learn More


See Ad Details

Active
Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

SPD
Sponsored • Paid for by SPD

Europa muss den Sozialabbau stoppen. Nur wer den sozialen Frieden auch in den wirtschaftlich schwächeren Ländern Europas fördert, sichert auf lange Sicht den Sozialstaat und die soziale Marktwirtschaft in Deutschland.



Europa ist die Antwort für faire Löhne.
www.spd.de

Learn More


See Ad Details

Active
Started running on Apr 9, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

SPD
Sponsored • Paid for by SPD

Europa muss den Sozialabbau stoppen. Nur wer den sozialen Frieden auch in den wirtschaftlich schwächeren Ländern Europas fördert, sichert auf lange Sicht den Sozialstaat und die soziale Marktwirtschaft in Deutschland.



Europa ist die Antwort für faire Löhne.
www.spd.de

Learn More


See Ad Details

Active
Started running on Apr 9, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

SPD
Sponsored • Paid for by SPD

Europa muss den Sozialabbau stoppen. Nur wer den sozialen Frieden auch in den wirtschaftlich schwächeren Ländern Europas fördert, sichert auf lange Sicht den Sozialstaat und die soziale Marktwirtschaft in Deutschland.



Europa ist die Antwort für faire Löhne.
www.spd.de


Learn More

See Ad Details

When the Ad Library Report is available in mid-May, users will be able to see aggregated insights about ads relating to politics and issues of importance. The report provides cumulative statistics about ads in the Ad Library.

Users will be able to see the aggregated total spent by Page on ads related to politics or issues of importance (see UK examples below).

The Labour Party



The Labour Party

@labourparty

Political Party · 1,034,195 likes

Page transparency

Page created Aug 4, 2008

Page name has not changed

Primary country location for people who manage this Page includes: United Kingdom (15)

Total spent by Page on ads related to politics or issues of importance

Oct 2018 - Apr 6, 2019

United Kingdom

£63,070

See spend details

Recently spent by Page on ads related to politics or issues of importance

7 days Mar 31, 2019 - Apr 6, 2019

United Kingdom

£7,761

See spend details

~560 results

Showing ads from the Page The Labour Party.

View:

All Ads

Political or Issue Ads

Filter By:

United Kingdom

Active and Inactive

Launched April 2019

2

Active


Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

The Labour Party

Sponsored · Paid for by The Labour Party

In the Tories' latest broadcast they claim to provide regular bin collections, more affordable homes and lower council tax. The reality? They're talking trash.



The Tories are talking trash.

See Ad Details

Active


Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

The Labour Party

Sponsored · Paid for by The Labour Party

Under the Tories, fewer people are able to buy their own homes. Help us deliver affordable homes to rent and buy. Vote Labour on 2 May.



Active


Started running on Apr 10, 2019

Related to politics or issues of importance

The Labour Party

Sponsored · Paid for by The Labour Party

The Tories have created a housing crisis. And after nine years of failure, they have no plan to fix their mess. Vote Labour 2 May. Because only Labour will deliver affordable homes to rent and buy.



Users will also be able to see the total number of ads and total spend on political and issue ads in the Ad Library to date, total spend by advertiser, advertiser spend by week and top searched keyword per week.

Weekly spending by advertiser (March 31, 2019 - April 6, 2019)

Advertisers are listed highest to lowest for amount spent on ads seen in the UK for the selected week. You can sort the results.

Search for an advertiser <input type="text"/>				
Page Name	Disclaimer	Amount Spent	Number of Ads in Library	
UK government	UK government	£26,953	10	
People's Vote UK	People's Vote	£26,946	256	
Electoral Commission UK	The Electoral Commission	£22,595	17	
The Labour Party	The Labour Party	£7,761	74	
Conservatives	The Conservative Party	£6,224	108	
Renew	Renew Party	£5,684	40	
Best For Britain	Best for Britain	£5,595	48	
School Cuts	National Education Union	£5,430	36	
38 Degrees	38 Degrees	£4,709	46	
London Gov	the Greater London Authority	£4,415	7	
Right To Vote	Right to Vote	£3,929	123	
Britain's Future	Timothy Robert Pelham Dawson	£3,903	85	
Liberal Democrats	the Liberal Democrats	£3,192	109	

III. Integrity of services

A study published earlier in 2019, conducted by researchers at the University of Michigan, Princeton University, University of Exeter and Washington University at St. Louis, offered encouraging findings about the scale and spread of misinformation since the 2016 US elections. Namely:

- ***Fake news exposure fell dramatically from 2016 to 2018.*** The researchers found that there was a substantial decline (75%) in the proportion of Americans who visited fake news websites during the 2018 midterm elections, relative to the 2016 elections.
- ***Also during the 2016 – 2018 period, Facebook’s role in the distribution of misinformation was dramatically reduced.*** To determine Facebook’s role in spreading false news, the researchers looked at the three websites people visited in the 30 seconds before arriving at a fake news site. Between the fall of 2016 and the summer and fall of 2018, Facebook’s role in referring visits to fake news sites had dramatically dropped.

This research complements findings from publications in 2018 that the overall consumption of false news on Facebook has declined since the 2016 US elections. For example [Allcott, Gentzkow, and Yu](#) found that “Facebook’s efforts to limit the diffusion of misinformation after the 2016 [US] election may have had a meaningful impact”. A study by [Le Monde](#) looking at French language sites found that “the virality of misinformation on

Facebook has declined significantly over the past three years”. (“La viralité des intox sur Facebook a sensiblement diminué en trois ans”). While we’re encouraged by these studies, we know that misinformation is a highly adversarial space and we’re committed to our part in the long-term effort that fighting false news will require.

As we noted in earlier updates, fake account blocking, detection, and removal is a critical element of our strategy to preserving the integrity of Facebook's products and services. We employ dedicated teams around the world to develop advanced technical systems, relying on artificial intelligence, heuristic signals, machine learning, as well as human review, to detect, block, and remove fake accounts. These technology advances help us better identify and block bad activity, while our expert investigators manually detect more sophisticated networks - such as those involved in [coordinated inauthentic behavior](#).

In Q1 2019, we disabled 2.19 billion fake accounts, up from the 1.2 billion in Q4 2018. Most of these accounts originated from commercially motivated spam attacks.

Coordinated inauthentic behavior

We continuously disrupt coordinated inauthentic behavior (CIB), which is when people or organizations create networks of fake accounts to mislead others about who they are, or what they’re doing, to manipulate public debate for a strategic goal. We provide more detail about coordinated inauthentic behavior in the baseline report included at Annex 3 below. Over the past few weeks we have taken down eight CIB networks including:

- **Macedonia and Kosovo:** We removed 212 Facebook Pages, Groups and accounts for engaging in coordinated inauthentic behavior that originated in Macedonia and Kosovo. The individuals behind this activity operated fake accounts to administer Pages sharing general, non-country specific content like astrology, celebrities and beauty tips. They also ran small number of Pages purporting to represent political communities in Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States — and posted about religious and political topics like nationalism, Islam, and political figures.
 - *Presence on Facebook and Instagram:* 40 Pages and 172 Facebook accounts.
 - *Followers:* About 685,000 accounts followed one or more of these Pages.
- **Russia:** we removed 1,907 Facebook Pages, Groups and accounts for engaging in spam — and a small portion of these engaged in coordinated inauthentic behavior — linked to Russia. The individuals behind these activities used fake accounts primarily to operate Groups and Pages posting spam content. Additionally, a small number of these posted content related to Ukrainian news and politics, including the ongoing conflict in the eastern part of Ukraine; local and regional politics; Ukrainian patriotism; refugee issues; Ukrainian military; the situation in Crimea; and corruption.
 - *Presence on Facebook and Instagram:* 86 Pages, 64 Facebook accounts and 1,757 Groups, largely engaging in spam activity.
 - *Followers:* About 50,000 accounts followed one or more of these Pages and around 1.7 million accounts joined one or more of these Groups.

IV. Empowering consumers

Fact-checking

Since our last report, we have continued to scale our fact-checking partnerships across the E.U. and Europe. As of April 2019, Facebook now works with 15 fact-checking organizations in the EU, covering 9 countries and 8 languages:

- **15 fact-checking organizations:** 20 Minutes, AFP, CheckNews (Desintox) de Liberation, Correctiv, DPA, Full Fact, Journal.ie, Le Décodeurs du Monde, Les Observateurs de France 24, Maldito Buló, Newtral, NU.nl, Pagella Politica, TjekDek, Viralgranskaren
- **9 countries:** Denmark, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain, Sweden, the Netherlands, U.K.
- **8 languages:** Danish, Dutch, English, French, German, Italian, Spanish, Swedish

Globally, all of our partners are certified by the [International Fact-Checking Network \(IFCN\)](#), which recently launched an [E.U.-wide fact-checking effort](#) ahead of the Parliamentary elections. In light of this project, we are currently exploring opportunities to expand our country & language coverage even further.

On April 1 we announced a fact-checking collaboration in Poland. The fact-checking will be done in partnership with AFP (Agence France Presse). It is first such cooperation that we launched in the CEE region. The launch event gathered key policy stakeholders, including the Vice Minister in charge of cybersecurity who welcomed Facebook efforts to ensure the integrity of the elections in Poland (both the EP elections and the general elections in the fall). Other stakeholders included head of the broadcasting regulator, a delegation from the ministry of digital affairs, the elections commission, representatives of main Polish parties and also representative of the European Commission from the representation office.

It's important to understand how our fact-checking program functions. For this report, we wanted to elaborate on our approach to working with fact-checkers. This work takes place in three steps: **identify, review & act**.

First, Facebook uses machine learning to **identify** content that may be misinformation. We use a number of signals including user reports of false news. In addition, fact-checking partners can identify content on their own, using their journalist expertise.

Then, fact-checkers **review** content. To do so, they first apply a rating. We offer a [9-rating scale](#) that accounts for the diverse types of information on Facebook — if a piece of content is rated “False,” “False Headline” or “Mixture,” partners are required to submit a fact-checking article, which provides additional context for users. You can see sample content that our E.U. partners have recently fact-checked [in this newsroom post](#).

Based on the output of fact-checkers, we **act** to reduce the distribution of content marked as false. Additionally, when a user sees this known misinformation and tries to share it, we

show them a short message that pops up to alert them to the existence of the additional information from fact-checkers. In about **50% of cases**, users chose not to share after seeing this alert. We also notify users who shared content before it was debunked by a fact-checker, and display fact-checkers' debunking articles in [Related Articles](#).

Even though fact-checking is only one part of the broader strategy to fight false news, it's an area that shows great promise and yields a lot of downstream impact. Based on one false rating, we're able to: demote a specific piece of false content in News Feed so fewer people see it; show the aforementioned notifications and Related Articles information; reduce the overall distribution of Pages and web sites that repeatedly share things found to be false; kick off similarity detection processes (<https://newsroom.fb.com/news/2018/06/>) to identify duplicates and near-duplicates of debunked stories and reduce their distribution as well; and feed this back into our machine learning model (referenced in the 'identify' step above), which can help us more effectively detect potentially false items in the future

Training

In early April, Facebook organized training for local media outlets in Poland on the third party fact checking program, which was just launched in the country. Around thirty media outlets benefited from the training. During the event, the team presented different tools to ensure the integrity of the elections and offered a deep-dive around the ads transparency tools. We also organised this training in Denmark and Spain.

Newsroom post

Ahead of the upcoming elections, Facebook put together a comprehensive post that explains in clear way how we deal with false news on our platform. The link to the post can be found here: <https://newsroom.fb.com/news/2019/04/the-hunt-for-false-news-eu-edition/>

Engagement in the European Media Literacy Week in Brussels

Facebook participated in the European Media Literacy Week on 20 March organised by the European Commission. Tessa Lyons-Liang from the product team in the US spoke at the “Informed Citizens in the Digital Age” Conference. We engaged in a panel discussion with experts to outline Facebook's work on fighting disinformation and supporting media literacy efforts both on and off platform.

Supporting a media literacy campaign in Ireland

A new Irish public awareness campaign called “[Be Media Smart](#)” launched on 18 March to help people identify sources of information that may be unreliable, and deliberately false or misleading information. The campaign was launched to coincide with the European Media Literacy Week and calls on people of all ages to “Stop, Think, and Check” that information they see, read or hear across any media platform is reliable.

It was created upon the initiative of Media Literacy Ireland, in partnership with the Broadcasting Authority of Ireland. Facebook was involved in the Steering Group, providing input and facilitating discussions ahead of the launch of the campaign.

Execution of the Fund for Online Civility in France to encourage civil society projects around fighting disinformation

Following the launch of the Fund for Online Civility (1 million euros) last year, Facebook signed all the contracts with the 12 winning projects in the month of March, launching a longer term cooperation with 12 civic projects in France. The Fund for Online Civility was originally launched to mobilize actors, associations and citizens to fight disinformation, online harassment and hate speech.

The examples of winners and projects that Facebook is funding in the media literacy space include:

- The Higher School of Journalism of Lille (ESJ): the ESJ "info truck" will travel across France to train middle school and high school students on understanding how information is constructed online and 'flushing out' false news.
- Civic Fab: a project focused on stimulating creative workshops and a competition that encourages critical thinking.
- Digital Generation: 5000 college students will form a movement to hunt for disinformation in France.
- Bibliothèque Sans Frontières: their "Digital Traveller" project will help develop online media literacy by creating fun and informative modules for teenagers and young adult on content creation and dissemination of information.

These projects will be further developed and run this year. We will provide more updates in due course.

Partnerships in Italy on the development of digital literacy skills

Facebook is partnering with an organization called ["Freeformers"](#), working on the Future Workforce Model and skills needed in the digital transformation. In March, they presented their "digital presence" module at the [Digital Week](#) in Milan (13-17 March) and at the Rome Cup. Facebook also partnered with Fondazione Mondo Digitale on a project where young students teach the elderly how to use social media safely - the trainings have been ongoing since January and continued in March.

V. Empowering the research community

In March we rolled out expanded access to our [Ad Library API](#) for others to analyze ads related to politics or issues. Anyone who has gone through our Identity Confirmation process, has a Facebook Developer account, and has agreed to our platform terms of service can access the API.

Annex : Facebook overall approach to code implementation

1. Introduction

This report provides an overview of Facebook's approach to implementing the EU Code of Practice on Disinformation, including details of our relevant policies, products, services and actions we take to address the harms caused by disinformation online. It is important to note that our approach to disinformation is in continual development, for example through the evolution of the tools we use to identify potentially false stories, clickbait and spam, and this report provides a snapshot of our approach as at January 2019. The policies, products and services detailed in this report are available globally except where we give specific details of regional coverage.

The following sections set out our current approaches to each of the categories of commitments set out in the EU Code of Practice on Disinformation.

1. Scrutiny of Ad Placements

1.1 Policies for advertising appearing on Facebook

Facebook's policies for advertising are publicly available at: <https://www.facebook.com/policies/ads/>. Facebook advertising policies ban the inclusion in advertising of sensational content, which we define as shocking, sensational, disrespectful or excessively violent content. We also ban the inclusion of misleading or false content: ads, landing pages, and business practices must not contain deceptive, false, or misleading content, including deceptive claims, offers, or methods.

We enforce compliance with these rules through an advertising approval process which examines the images, text, targeting, and positioning of the advertisement, in addition to the content on the advertisement's landing page. Advertisements may not be approved if the landing page content isn't fully functional, doesn't match the product/service promoted in the ad or doesn't fully comply with our Advertising Policies.

1.2 Facebook [advertising network policies](#)

Facebook's advertising network places ads on third-party sites and services, generating income for third-party publishers; Facebook policies for the advertising network also ban the inclusion of misleading, deceptive, sensational or excessively violent content. This includes deceptive claims (such as false news), offers, or business practices.

1.3 Reducing the economic incentives for false news

One of the most effective approaches to fighting false news is removing the economic incentives for traffickers of disinformation. We've found that a lot of fake news is financially motivated: spammers make money by masquerading as legitimate news

publishers and posting hoaxes that get people to visit their sites, which are often mostly ads.

The steps we're taking to address the economic incentives for providers of false news include:

- Implementing multiple News Feed ranking changes to reduce the distribution and hence disincentivise financially-motivated tactics like the provision of [clickbait](#), [cloaking](#), [ad farms](#) and sharing of false or sensationalist content on the platform.
- Using signals, including feedback from people on Facebook, to predict potentially false stories for fact-checkers to review.
- Better identifying false news, drawing on feedback from our community and using third-party fact-checking organizations, so that we can limit its spread, which, in turn, makes it uneconomical. For example, when fact-checkers rate a story as false, we significantly reduce its distribution in News Feed. On average, this cuts future views by more than 80%.
- Taking action against entire Pages and websites that repeatedly share false news, reducing their overall News Feed distribution. And since we don't want to make money from misinformation or help those who create it profit, these publishers are not allowed to [run ads](#) or [use our monetization features](#) like Instant Articles.
- Applying machine learning to assist our response teams in detecting fraud and enforcing our policies against inauthentic spam accounts.
- Updating our detection of fake accounts on Facebook, which makes spamming at scale much harder.

1.4 Brand Safety

Facebook already has brand safety measures in place for ad breaks (video), Instant Articles, and Audience Network. Every piece of *monetizable* content is reviewed and provided a severity label for our six categories. At this time, content labeled SEVERE is ineligible to have ads placed next to it. Categories capable of attracting a SEVERE label are

- Tragedy and Conflict
- Explicit Content
- Sexual and Suggestive
- Debated Social Issues
- Objectionable Activity
- Strong Language

2. Political advertising and issue-based advertising

At Facebook we are committed to making advertising more transparent. When you visit a Facebook page or see an ad on our platform it should be clear who it is coming from. We believe that increased transparency will lead to increased accountability and responsibility. We've focused our efforts in two main areas:

- **Page Transparency:** Everywhere in the world people can now go to any page and see the ads the page is currently running. People can also see the date the page was created, any name changes it has had and any other pages that have been merged into it. For pages with a larger following we also require the admins to authorize with us to prove they are who they say they are; we will also show the country location of those admins.
- **Political Ad Transparency:** In addition to the transparency mentioned above we also require political advertisers to take some additional steps. Anyone who wishes to run political ads must obtain authorization to do so by confirming their identity and location. They must also place a disclaimer on their ads so people know who has paid for them. Those ads go into an archive where people can see the range of impressions those ads got, the range of budget spent and the age, gender and location of who saw that ad. The ads remain in this archive for seven years. We also provide a weekly report with aggregated information about the ads in the archive.
 - **Launch Plan:** We have already launched these features in the United States, Brazil, United Kingdom and India. In the US these features cover political and issue ads. In the United Kingdom it covers political or electoral ads as well as legislation before Parliament and past referenda that are the subject of national debate, while in Brazil we only cover electoral ads. We will be launching the archive and the labelling feature, with authorisation based on an identity check, across the European Union in advance of the EU elections.
 - **News Organizations:** We have exempted news organizations from this process in the UK and plan on expanding that to other countries this year.

This transparency serves several purposes. People can see when ads are paid for by a candidate or another third-party group. It should now be more obvious when organizations are saying different things to different groups of people. In addition, journalists, watchdogs, academics, and others can use these tools to study ads on Facebook, report abuse, and hold political and issue advertisers accountable for the content they show.

3. Integrity of services

Authenticity is the cornerstone of our community and key to preserving the integrity of our services. We remove content that violates our [Community Standards](#) where we become aware of it, which are rules to ensure the safety and security of Facebook, and include explicit **requirements as to authenticity** and **prohibitions on misrepresentation**. Our authenticity and misrepresentation policies are intended to create a safe environment where people can trust and hold one another accountable. Key aspects of these policies include prohibitions on:

- Maintaining multiple accounts
- Creating inauthentic profiles
- Sharing an account with any other person
- Creating another account after being banned from the site
- Evading the registration requirements outlined in our [Terms of Service](#)
- Creating a profile assuming the persona of or speaking for another person or entity

- Creating a Page assuming to be or speak for another person or entity for whom the user is not authorized to do so.
- Engaging in inauthentic behavior, which includes creating, managing, or otherwise perpetuating:
 - Accounts that are fake
 - Accounts that have fake names
 - Accounts that participate in, or claim to engage in, coordinated inauthentic behavior, meaning that multiple accounts are working together to do any of the following:
 - Mislead people in an attempt to encourage shares, likes, or clicks
 - Mislead people to conceal or enable the violation of other policies under the Community Standards

Our [prohibition of inauthentic accounts on Facebook](#) includes inauthentic accounts created by software (e.g., “bots”).

Areas covered by these policies that have been the focus of much scrutiny and concern are fake accounts and inauthentic behavior, details of which are set out below.

3.1 Removing Fake Accounts

Fake account blocking, detection, and removal is an important aspect to preserving the integrity of Facebook's products and services. Facebook employs dedicated teams around the world to develop advanced technical systems, relying on artificial intelligence, heuristic signals, machine learning, as well as human review, to detect, block, and remove fake accounts.

Our technology helps us to take action against millions of attempts, including by bots, to create fake accounts every day, and to detect and remove millions more, often within minutes after creation. Our progress in removing fake accounts is tracked through our [Community Standards Enforcement Report](#) and select highlights from Q2 and Q3 are provided below:

- We took down more fake accounts in Q2 and Q3 2018 than in previous quarters, 800 million and 754 million, respectively. Most of these fake accounts were the result of commercially motivated spam attacks trying to create fake accounts in bulk.
 - In Q2 and Q3 2018, we found and flagged 99.6% of the accounts we subsequently took action on before users reported them. We acted on the other 0.4% because users reported them first. This number increased from 98.5% in Q1 2018.
 - Because we are able to remove most of these accounts within minutes of registration, the prevalence of fake accounts on Facebook remained steady at 3% to 4% of monthly active users as [reported](#) in our most recent (Q3 2018) earnings.

- This year we published our first Community Standards Enforcement reports, showing how much bad content we find and remove. We'll soon start releasing these reports every quarter along with conference calls, just like we do for earnings.

3.2 Prohibiting Coordinated Inauthentic Behavior

We continuously disrupt coordinated inauthentic behavior, which is when people or organizations create networks of fake accounts to mislead others about who they are, or what they're doing, to manipulate public debate for a strategic goal.

- **CIB is specifically about behavior — not content.** While we take action both against content that violates our policies and deceptive behavior, our CIB policy is designed to be behavior-based. What matters is whether the actors in question are using deceptive techniques and fake accounts. This type of content-agnostic enforcement is important, because it enables us to take action without evaluating content — or even when deceptive actors share content that would be otherwise permissible.
- Through **technical means we detect harmful activity and then flag it for manual review** by our threat intelligence and other investigative teams.
- We **take action** by having our security teams **investigate suspicious activity and take down accounts that violate our policies.**
- We **look ahead and work with external experts to understand the actors and risks involved.** Our partnerships include those with **governments and law enforcement, security researchers, tech industry peers, and civil society**, among other groups, and we belong to the Cybersecurity Tech Accord, a public commitment among more than 70 global companies to protect online security and defend the Internet against threats.
- Some *selected* global highlights from our takedowns for coordinated inauthentic behavior include:
 - **Belgium** – We took down 37 pages and 9 accounts around the time of the Belgian local elections, some of which were initially identified by Belgian media as potentially inauthentic and trying to manipulate political discourse, and our subsequent investigation further confirmed. Our investigation did not surface any links to foreign operators.
 - **Brazil** – We took down 68 pages and 43 accounts that were using sensationalized political content across the political spectrum to direct people to ad farms for financial gain during the Brazilian presidential election season.
 - **France** - prior to the French presidential election in 2017, we removed more than 30,000 fake accounts that were engaging in coordinated inauthentic behavior to spread spam, misinformation or other deceptive content. In removing these accounts, we identified patterns of activity, not content, that resulted in removal — for example, our systems detected repeated posting of the same content and anomalous spikes in messages sent.
 - **Iran** – We took down 104 pages, 103 accounts, 6 groups, and 92 Instagram accounts where page administrators were concealing their location and

posting content focused on the Middle East, as well as the UK, U.S., and Latin America, on politically-charged topics such as race relations, opposition to the U.S. president, and immigration. Despite attempts to hide true identity, a manual review of these accounts linked the activity to Iran.

- **Mexico** – We took down tens of thousands of fake likes, fake pages, and fake groups to promote authentic and trustworthy civic discourse.
- **United States** - We took down 8 pages, 17 accounts, and 7 Instagram accounts where bad actors used VPNs and internet phone services, and paid third parties to run ads on their behalf, and some of these bad actors created an event for a protest. Inauthentic page administrators interacted with administrators of legitimate pages to co-host this event. We disabled the event, reached out to the administrators of the legitimate pages, and informed the users who were interested in the event and those who said would attend.
- **Myanmar** - We took down 484 pages, 157 accounts, 17 groups, and 15 Instagram where we discovered that seemingly independent news, entertainment, beauty and lifestyle pages were linked to the Myanmar military.
- As these highlights indicate, we have been proactive in detecting and removing inauthentic behavior. To stay ahead, we will continue to work collaboratively to maintain and grow this successful track record.

4. Empowering consumers

We empower people to decide for themselves what to read, trust, and share by informing them with more context in-product and promoting [news literacy](#). For example, with the [context button](#), we give people more details on articles and publishers. This new feature is now available to many European countries including Ireland, the UK, France, Germany, Spain and Italy. It is designed to provide people with the tools they need to make a more informed decision about which stories to read, share, and trust. Research with our community and our academic and industry partners has identified some key information that helps people evaluate the credibility of an article and determine whether to trust the article's source. Based on this research, we're making it easy for people to view context about an article, including the publisher's Wikipedia entry, related articles on the same topic, information about how many times the article has been shared on Facebook, where it has been shared, as well as an option to follow the publisher's page. When a publisher does not have a Wikipedia entry, we will indicate that the information is unavailable, which can also be helpful context. We'll be continuing to expand coverage of EU countries as the range of available contextual information for publishers expands

When third-party fact-checkers write articles about a news story, we show them in [Related Articles](#) immediately below the story in News Feed. We also send people and Page Admins notifications if they try to share a story or have shared one in the past that's been determined to be false.

4.1 Fact-checking and false news

Facebook's fact-checking program uses a [combination of technology and human review](#) to detect and demote false news stories, which would otherwise reduce the authenticity of our service:

- In many countries Facebook is partnering with [third-party fact-checkers](#) to review and rate the accuracy of articles and posts on Facebook. These fact-checkers are independent and [certified](#) through the non-partisan International Fact-Checking Network. We use signals, including feedback from people on Facebook, to predict potentially false stories for fact-checkers to review.
- As noted in the section on *Scrutiny of Ad Placements*, we significantly reduce the distribution of stories identified as false, and Pages and domains that repeatedly share false news also see their distribution reduced and their ability to monetize and advertise removed. We use the information from fact-checkers to train our machine learning model, so that we can catch more potentially false news stories and do so faster. Finally, to give people more control, we encourage them to tell us when they see false news. [Feedback](#) from our community is one of the various signals that we use to identify potential hoaxes.
- Third party fact-checking is now available in 24 countries globally, including Denmark, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands and Sweden within the EU. We will continue to learn from academics, scaling our partnerships with third-party fact-checkers and talking to other bodies like civil society organizations and journalists about how we can work together to fight misinformation.
- Any Facebook user can give us [feedback](#) that a story they're seeing in their News Feed might be false news. Feedback from our community is one of the signals that powers our machine learning model and helps us take action against stories that may be false.

4.2 Advertising transparency and consumers

The advertisements a user sees on Facebook depend on

- Information a user shares on Facebook (example: posts or comments you make) and your activity on Facebook (such as liking a Page or a post, clicking on ads you see).
- Other information about a user from their Facebook account (example: your age, your gender, your location, the devices you use to access Facebook).
- Information advertisers and our marketing partners share with Facebook that they already have, like an email address.
- User activity on websites and apps off Facebook.

The “**Why am I seeing this ad**” service, which is an option on all Facebook advertisements, provides users with an explanation of the main reasons they are seeing an ad; the service also allows users to manage their advertising experience by changing the interests relating to which they receive advertising.

4.3 Prioritising trusted sources and reducing the distribution of misleading content

In 2018, we changed News Feed to promote news from trusted sources in France,

Germany, Italy, Spain and the UK. We survey diverse and representative samples of people using Facebook across the relevant markets to gauge their familiarity with, and trust in, different sources of news; and we use this data in the News Feed ranking process to promote news which is trusted by the community.

A second key pillar of our approach to prioritizing trusted sources is to reduce the distribution of content which is likely to be misleading, in particular through the detection and down-ranking in News Feed of content which our users are likely to find inauthentic. As mentioned above, this reduces the economic incentives for providers of misinformation. You can learn all about how we reduce distribution of problematic content at the Facebook [“Inside Feed” blog](#), but a few examples include:

- [Clickbait](#): Clickbait headlines are designed to get attention and lure visitors into clicking on a link. Some headlines intentionally leave out crucial details or mislead people, forcing them to click to find out the answer. For example, “When She Looked Under Her Couch Cushions And Saw THIS...”. Other headlines exaggerate the details of a story with sensational language to make the story seem like a bigger deal than it really is. For example, “WOW! Ginger tea is the secret to everlasting youth. You’ve GOT to see this!”. We use AI tools to identify clickbait at the individual post level in addition to the domain and Page level; when we determine that a link is likely to be clickbait, we reduce its distribution in News Feed.
- [Cloaking](#): Some providers of misleading content use a technique known as “cloaking” to circumvent Facebook’s review processes and show content to people that violates Facebook’s [Community Standards](#) and [Advertising Policies](#). Here, bad actors disguise the true destination of an ad or post, or the real content of the destination page, in order to bypass Facebook’s review processes. For example, they will set up web pages so that when a Facebook reviewer clicks a link to check whether it’s consistent with our policies, they are taken to a different web page than when someone using the Facebook app clicks that same link. We utilize AI and human review processes to help us identify, capture, and verify cloaking - and we remove Pages that engage in cloaking.
- [Ad farms](#): We reviewed hundreds of thousands of web pages linked to from Facebook to identify those that contain little substantive content and have a large number of disruptive, shocking or malicious ads. We use AI to assess whether new web pages shared on Facebook have similar characteristics. If we determine a post might link to these types of low-quality web pages, it will show up lower in people’s News Feed and may also be determined to be ineligible to be an ad. We also downrank posts that link out to low-quality sites that predominantly copy and republish content from other sites without providing unique value.

4.4 Providing advice to voters

In addition to removing fake accounts, reducing the spread of false news and launching third party fact-checkers, we also work to provide relevant and timely information that

empowers people to be informed voters in the lead up to an election. For example, in the past we've launched False News Public Service Announcements with tips on how to spot false news. We have also introduced Ballot, a voter information center that makes it easy for people to see who's running for office, follow candidate pages, and compare candidate perspectives on important issues. Candidate perspectives come directly from the candidates themselves or their staff. We provided Ballot for the recent German and Italian elections.

4.5 News Feed transparency and Inside Feed blog

We are continuing to invest in more transparency around our approach to misinformation. For example, our Inside Feed blog contains relevant, real-world examples of hoaxes that we caught and some that we didn't, as well as detailed explanations of our approach to fighting false news and associated issues like clickbait. See, for example <https://newsroom.fb.com/news/2018/10/inside-feed-hunt-false-news-october-2018/>.

4.6 Supporting media literacy and digital skills

We have worked to raise awareness of false news and boost media literacy across the EU, including a number of Member state-level projects. For example, in:

- **Germany: Media Literacy cooperation with Zeit für die Schule**
 - In 2017, we kicked off a 'school-year-long' cooperation with DIE ZEIT, aiming to increase media literacy with students 14+.
 - In Oct 2017 we launched a competition [#machdeinestory](#) - **Chefredakteure von morgen** ([#makeyourstory](#) - editors in chief of tomorrow), which aims to motivate students to explore and tell stories, and at the same time helps them cope with the daily flood of information. We plan to give out the prizes in our very own [Digitales Lernzentrum Berlin from Facebook](#).
 - ZEIT für die Schule and Facebook will be supporting students, helping them identifying fake news and finding reliable information. This partnership runs Oct'17-Aug'18.
- **Germany: Media Literacy cooperation with Digibits**
 - In 2016 we started our cooperation with Digibits – an NGO founded by DsiN which is supported by the Ministry of the Interior with the aim to foster online safety.
 - As part of our lasting footprint within Community Boost, in 2018 we expanded our cooperation and committed to provide funding for media literacy trainings to reach more than 100k students in Germany.
- **Italy: Media Literacy Campaign**
 - On the occasion of our launch of an educational tool to help people spot false news, we started a dialogue with the Ministry of Education, the Presidency of the Chamber of Deputies and other players of the industry to work together on a media literacy campaign. On May 2nd 2017, the media literacy campaign was announced during the event "[#BastaBufale](#)" ([#StopHoaxes](#)) by the former Minister of Education, Valeria Fedeli and Laura Boldrini (former President of the Chamber of Deputies).

- **United Kingdom: National Literacy Trust partnership**
 - Facebook provided funding for the [National Literacy Trust's Commission on Fake News and the Teaching of Critical Literacy Skills in Schools](#). This report looked at how youth understand news and information on the Internet, with a primary focus on those between ages 7-11 and 11-15. The report was released in June 2018 and as a result of some of the findings, Facebook further collaborated with National Literacy Trust to build a teacher's resource tool that would provide access to information on digital literacy support in the classroom.
- **Poland: False News Debates**
 - In 2018 we launched a media literacy campaign in Poland called "Learning to read in the false news era". We're doing this in co-operation with [Polityka Insight](#), and independent center for analysis and [Press](#), a key trade print media outlet in Poland.

In addition, we offer a [Digital Literacy Library](#), which has been translated into over 30 languages, including many EU languages such as Dutch, French, German, Italian, Polish and Portuguese.

5. Empowering the research community

- In April 2018, we established an independent election research commission with the goal of allowing researchers to leverage Facebook data in a privacy preserving manner to understand the impacts of our platform on Elections and Democracy. <https://www.facebook.com/zuck/posts/10105865715850211>
- Since April, we have worked with co-chairs Nate Persily (Stanford) and Gary King (Harvard) to establish the entity Social Science One, and build out the foundational structure of the commission in partnership with the Social Science Research Council and our foundation Funders.
- In the European Union, we established a regional advisory committee, led by [Claes Holger de Vreese](#), Professor and Chair of Political Communication in The Amsterdam School of Communication Research, University of Amsterdam. Professor de Vreese's role in the is to ensure the commission builds requests for proposals and awards research that will be valuable to the European Academic community. The European advisory commission also consists of 7 other academic representatives listed below.
 - [Marco Bastos](#), Senior Lecturer/Associate Professor at the Department of Sociology at City, University of London
 - [Frank Esser](#), Professor of International & Comparative Media Research at the University of Zurich
 - [Fabio Giglietto](#), Assistant Professor at the University of Urbino Carlo Bo
 - [Sophie Lecheler](#), Professor of Political Communication at the University of Vienna, Austria
 - [Barbara Pfetsch](#), Professor of Communication Theory and Media Effects Research at the Department of Media and Communication at the Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

- [Cornelius Puschmann](#), Senior Researcher at the Hans Bredow Institute for Media Research in Hamburg
 - [Rebekah Tromble](#), Assistant Professor in the Institute of Political Science at Leiden University in the Netherlands
- In May 2018 we hosted a series of dinners and workshops in Oxford, Paris, and Berlin to kick off the Election Research Commission work and ensure the European academic community had a voice in the foundation structure and first datasets the commission would release.
- On September 9, 2018, the Election Research Commission hosted the Social Science One European advisory committee at Facebook's office for a European summit. The goal of the summit was to bring together the European academic and regulatory community to introduce them to the Elections Research Commission work and understand their research goals leveraging Facebook data. The whole group participated in a series of panels on the state of current social science research; the Election Research Commission Project; Facebook's approach to Elections in Europe and the current and upcoming datasets that we will be releasing around elections. We also received valuable feedback on how we could shape future data sets and RFPs to help European researchers understand the effects of our platform on democracy.
- In July 2018, we announced the first request for proposals to the research community, which includes providing researchers monetary awards as well as a dataset focused on information and misinformation shared on Facebook. The dataset consists of web page addresses (URLs) that have been shared on Facebook in the past twelve months (the dataset may grow as time passes and more URLs are shared). URLs are included if shared by many unique accounts, and shared publicly within a privacy-preserving threshold. The goal of this dataset is to allow researchers to study misinformation on Facebook and its impact on elections and democracy.
- The awards for the July 2018 RFP will be announced in January 2019, and researchers will begin receiving access to datasets in February.
- The research commission has also announced two new RFPs:
 - **Crowdtangle API**. Crowdtangle is a platform used by many media companies around the world, allowing analysts to track the popularity of news items and other public postings across multiple platforms. The Crowdtangle API will allow researchers to access both Facebook and Instagram data.
 - **Ad Archive API**. Following the launch of the ad archive in the US, we added an API to facilitate researchers' access to the data.