Pew Research Center *****

FOR RELEASE December 14, 2023

In GOP Contest, Trump Supporters Stand Out for Dislike of Compromise

Biden's job approval stands at 33%

FOR MEDIA OR OTHER INQUIRIES:

Carroll Doherty, Director of Political Research Jocelyn Kiley, Associate Director, Research Nida Asheer, Senior Communications Manager Talia Price, Communications Associate 202,419,4372

202.410.4012

www.pewresearch.org

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Pew Research Center, December, 2023, "In GOP Contest, Trump Supporters Stand Out for Dislike of Compromise"

About Pew Research Center

Pew Research Center is a nonpartisan, nonadvocacy fact tank that informs the public about the issues, attitudes and trends shaping the world. It does not take policy positions. The Center conducts public opinion polling, demographic research, computational social science research and other data-driven research. It studies politics and policy; news habits and media; the internet and technology; religion; race and ethnicity; international affairs; social, demographic and economic trends; science; research methodology and data science; and immigration and migration. Pew Research Center is a subsidiary of The Pew Charitable Trusts, its primary funder.

© Pew Research Center 2023

How we did this

Pew Research Center conducted this study to better understand the views of Republican and Republican-leaning registered voters in the United States about the upcoming Republican presidential primaries, as well as Americans' views of President Joe Biden and U.S. political parties. For this analysis, we surveyed 5,203 adults from Nov. 27 to Dec. 3, 2023. Everyone who took part in this survey is a member of the Center's American Trends Panel (ATP), an online survey panel that is recruited through national, random sampling of residential addresses. This way nearly all U.S. adults have a chance of selection. The survey is weighted to be representative of the U.S. adult population by gender, race, ethnicity, partisan affiliation, education and other categories. <u>Read more about the ATP's methodology</u>.

Here are the <u>questions used for the report</u>, along with responses, and its <u>methodology</u>.

In GOP Contest, Trump Supporters Stand Out for Dislike of Compromise

Biden's job approval stands at 33%

With less than a month until the Iowa caucuses, former President Donald Trump has a wide lead for the Republican Party's presidential nomination. His supporters stand out for their desire for a presidential candidate who will push hard for policies that Republican voters want, even if it

makes it much harder to get some things done.


Roughly six-in-ten Trump supporters (63%) say it is more important for a Republican nominee to focus on this if elected, while 36% say it's more important for the party's candidate to focus on finding common ground with Democrats.

Overall, Republican and Republican-leaning registered voters are fairly evenly split on this question, as are the 14% of GOP voters who back Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis.

By comparison, most of the

Trump supporters place less priority on seeking common ground with Democrats than GOP voters who back DeSantis or Haley

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who say it is more important for a Republican presidential candidate to focus on _____ if elected



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. First choice for the Republican nomination is based on responses to an open-ended question. No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

11% of Republican voters who support former ambassador and former South Carolina Gov. Nikki Haley for the nomination say it's more important to focus on finding common ground with Democrats.

• <u>Four years ago</u>, 63% of Democratic voters said it was more important for a Democratic candidate to focus on finding common ground with Republicans if elected, while 35% said it was more important to push hard for policies Democrats wanted.

Trump leads the Republican field

A new Pew Research Center survey, conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023, among 5,203 adults, including 1,901 Republican and Republicanleaning registered voters, finds Trump with a substantial lead over his rivals for the Republican presidential nomination.


Roughly half of Republican voters (52%) name Trump as their first choice for the nomination in response to an open-ended question.

Far smaller shares name DeSantis (14%), Haley (11%), Vivek Ramaswamy (3%) or Chris Christie (1%) as their first choice for the party's nomination. No other candidate is mentioned by at least 1% of GOP voters.

Nearly two-in-ten Republican voters (18%) report being undecided, decline to answer or name another person.

In open-ended question, roughly half of Republican voters name Trump as their first choice for the GOP nomination

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who name _____ as their first choice for the Republican nomination for president **[open end]**



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. Open-ended question.


Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

Views of the GOP primary field and the campaign so far

- About two-thirds of Republican voters (68%) say that their party's caucuses and primaries will do a good job of selecting the best candidate for the general election, while 31% say they will do a bad job. Trump supporters are particularly likely to say the primary process will do a good job of selecting the nominee.
- 54% of Republican voters rate the GOP field as either excellent (9%) or good (45%). This is comparable to GOP voters' views of past Republican primary campaigns.
- Most Republican voters (66%) do not think the competition for the nomination will be close.
- About half of Republican voters (51%) say the campaign for the GOP nomination has been focused on the right issues, while 45% say it has not.
- A narrow majority (56%) describe the campaign so far as dull, while 42% say it has been interesting.

Two-thirds of Republican voters say the Republican primary race won't be close

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who say the campaign for the Republican nomination ...



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

Other key findings from the survey

Biden's job approval rating remains low. A

third of Americans approve of Biden's handling of his job as president, while 64% disapprove. The share who approve of Biden's performance is down 5 percentage points since January 2023.

 Biden's rating is particularly down among Democrats and Democratic leaners over this year. While 61% of Democrats approve of his job performance, that reflects a 9-point drop since January.


By a 5-point margin, the Republican Party is seen as better able to effectively manage the federal government. Democrats hold advantages on several other traits. The

Democratic Party holds double-digit advantages in being seen as willing to work with the other party's leaders and trying to unite the country.

It has narrower advantages on governing ethically and honestly, having effective congressional leadership, and being concerned with the needs of middle-class people. Substantial shares of Americans see no difference between the parties on each of the traits included in the survey.

By nearly 2 to 1, Americans disapprove of Biden's job performance

% who say they _____ of the way Biden is handling his job as president



1. The Republican presidential nomination

Donald Trump is the first choice of roughly half (52%) of Republican and Republican-leaning independent registered voters for the Republican nomination for president in 2024. Far smaller shares name Ron DeSantis

(14%), Nikki Haley (11%), Vivek Ramaswamy (3%) or Chris Christie (1%) as their top choice.

About two-in-ten GOP voters (18%) name another person, report being undecided or decline to answer.

GOP candidate preferences vary by demographics

Republican voters ages 18 to 34 are slightly less likely than older Republican voters to say Trump is their first choice, though age differences on this question are modest overall.

Educational differences are more substantial: 66% of Republican voters with a high school education or less support Donald Trump, compared with 53% of those with some college experience, 37% of those with a four-year

Trump leads rivals for the Republican nomination across demographic groups

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who name _____ as their first choice for the Republican nomination for president in 2024 **[open end]**

			Rai	naswar	ny	DK/Ref/
	Trump	DeSantis	Haley	Cl	nristie	Other
Total	52	14	11	3	1	18
Men	51	15	14	4	1	14
Women	53	13	8	2	1	22
White	51	16	12	3	1	16
Hispanic	50	9	10	6	*	24
Ages 18-34	45	10	10	10	1	24
35-49	52	12	8	4	1	23
50-64	54	15	11	1	1	17
65+	52	17	15	*	2	14
Postgrad	34	23	22	1	3	16
College grad	37	21	16	4	2	19
Some college	53	13	9	5	1	19
HS or less	66	8	7	1	1	17
Conserv	57	16	10	3	1	13
Mod/Lib	40	11	14	4	3	28

Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. White adults include only those who are not Hispanic; Hispanic adults are of any race. Open-ended question. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

college degree and 34% of those with a postgraduate degree.

College-educated Republican voters are about twice as likely as those without college degrees to support either DeSantis or Haley -40% of college graduates support one of these two candidates, compared with 19% of non-college graduates. (*About a third of Republican and Republican*-

leaning registered voters have college degrees, including roughly one-in-ten who have postgraduate degrees.)

While a majority of conservative Republican voters (57%) support Trump, a smaller share of moderate and liberal Republican voters (40%) back the former president. Republican moderates and liberals are about twice as likely as conservatives to say they are undecided about who they prefer for the GOP nomination or decline to answer (28% vs. 13%).

Similar shares of Republican men and women and similar shares of White and Hispanic Republicans favor Trump. However, men are slightly more likely than women to favor Haley (14% vs. 8%) and White Republican voters express slightly greater support than Hispanic Republican voters for DeSantis (16% vs. 9%).

Republican voters' satisfaction with the candidate field


Roughly seven-in-ten Republican voters (71%) say they would be satisfied if Trump became the Republican nominee in 2024, including about half (49%) who say they would be very satisfied.

A majority (64%) also say they would be satisfied if DeSantis won the nomination, including 25% who would be very satisfied. About a quarter of Republican voters would be dissatisfied with either Trump or DeSantis (27% each).

About half of Republican voters (48%) would be satisfied if Haley were nominated, while about a third (32%) would be dissatisfied. Two-in-ten GOP voters say they have not heard enough about Haley to say.

Most Republican voters would be satisfied with Trump or DeSantis as the party's nominee

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who would feel _____ if each of the following became the Republican nominee in 2024



Ramaswamy is less well known than Trump, DeSantis, Haley or Christie, with 27% of Republican voters saying they have not heard enough about him to have an opinion. Equal shares say they would be satisfied and dissatisfied (36% each) if Ramaswamy became the nominee.

About two-thirds of Republican voters (68%) would be dissatisfied if Christie were to become the nominee. Just 18% say they would be satisfied with this outcome.

How each candidate's supporters feel about the rest of the field


A majority of Republican registered voters who name Trump as their first choice for the nomination (65%) nonetheless say they would be satisfied if DeSantis became the nominee. A slightly narrower majority of DeSantis supporters -57% – say they would be satisfied with Trump as the nominee.

A majority of DeSantis supporters (64%) would be satisfied with Haley becoming the nominee. A similar share of Haley supporters (61%) would be satisfied with DeSantis.

However, most Haley supporters (68%) say they would be dissatisfied if Trump won the nomination, while just 31% would be satisfied. And Republican voters who support Trump are closely divided on the possibility of Haley as the Republican general election candidate: 41% say they would be dissatisfied, 39% say they would be satisfied.

Most Haley supporters would be dissatisfied if Trump is the GOP nominee

Among Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who support each of the following as their first choice for the Republican nomination, % who would feel **satisfied/dissatisfied** if _____ became the Republican nominee in 2024



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. First choice for the Republican nomination is based on responses to an open-ended question. No answer responses are not shown.

Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

Views of the Republican field and the primary election system

Just over half of Republican voters (54%) rate the overall field of candidates running for the nomination as either excellent (9%) or good (45%), while 35% describe the field as only fair and 11% rate it as poor.

Similar shares of DeSantis (65%) and Trump supporters (62%) describe the group of candidates running for the nomination as excellent or good.


Haley supporters are less likely to describe the field this way, with 48% describing it as excellent or good and 52% describing it as only fair or poor.

Overall, 68% of Republican voters say the caucuses and primaries will do a good job of selecting the best candidate, though just 10% say they will do a very good job.


Views of how well the caucuses and primaries will do at selecting the best Republican candidate for the general election also vary by candidate support.

8 in 10 Trump supporters say the caucuses and primaries will do a good job of selecting the nominee

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who say that, as a group, the candidates running for the Republican presidential nomination are ...



% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who say the caucuses and primaries will do a _____ job at selecting the best Republican general election candidate



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. First choice for the Republican nomination is based on responses to an open-ended question. No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Eight-in-ten Trump supporters say the caucuses and primaries will do a very (15%) or somewhat (65%) good job of selecting the best candidate, compared with about seven-in-ten DeSantis supporters (69%) and a smaller share of Haley supporters (54%).

• In January 2020, 77% of Democratic and Democratic-leaning registered voters said that their caucuses and primaries would do a good job of selecting the best general election candidate.

How Republican voters view Trump's impact on the Republican Party


A majority of Republican voters (62%) say Donald Trump has had a positive impact on the Republican Party since he entered politics. A quarter say that his impact has been negative, while 13% say that the former president has had neither a positive nor negative impact.

Views vary by age group: Republican voters under 35 are less positive about Trump's impact than those ages 35 and older. About twothirds of Republican voters ages 35 and older (65%) say Trump has had a positive impact on the party, compared with about half (47%) of Republican voters ages 18 to 34.

Republican voters under 35 are 12 percentage points more likely than older Republican

Roughly 6 in 10 Republican voters say Trump has had a positive impact on the Republican Party

% of Rep/Lean Rep registered voters who say that, since he entered politics, Donald Trump's impact on the Republican Party has been ...



Note: Based on Rep/Lean Rep registered voters. No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

voters to say that Trump's impact has been neither positive nor negative (23% vs. 11%). Three-inten younger Republican voters say Trump's impact has been negative, as do 24% of those ages 35 and older.

Views on Trump's impact also vary by education: 71% of Republican voters with a high school education or less view Trump's impact as positive, compared with 63% of those with some college experience and 53% of those with college degrees. Republican college graduates are more than twice as likely as those without any college experience to say Trump's impact has been negative (36% vs. 15%).

There are ideological differences among Republican registered voters in these views as well. About seven-in-ten conservative Republican voters (69%) view Trump's impact on the GOP in a positive light, while 47% of moderate and liberal Republican voters say the same. About a third of moderate and liberal Republican voters say that Trump's impact has been negative, while 20% say it has been neither positive nor negative.

2. Assessments of Joe Biden


A third of Americans currently say they approve of Joe Biden's job performance as president, while nearly two-thirds (64%) say they disapprove. This is little changed over the last year.

Around six-in-ten Democrats and Democratic leaners (61%) say they approve of Biden's job performance, compared with 7% of Republicans and Republican-leaning independents.


Biden's job approval among Democrats has declined 12 percentage points since October 2022 (from 73% to 61%). Over this period, Biden's ratings among Republicans have remained very low (6% then, 7% today).

Biden's job approval remains low with the public

% who say they _____ of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president



% who say they **approve** of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president



Note: No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

Biden's approval among demographic groups

Biden's job rating is relatively low among most major demographic groups.

Biden's job approval differs by race, education

% who say they _____ of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president


Black adults give Biden higher ratings than Hispanic or White adults do. Still, only about half of Black adults (52%) say they approve of his job performance, while 43% disapprove.

Modest age differences in

Biden's ratings. Biden's job ratings are in the low- to mid-30% range across age groups. Disapproval of Biden is modestly higher among adults ages 18 to 49 (66%) than those 50 and older (62%).

Sizable educational

differences. Majorities of adults with a four-year college degree (62%), some college experience (68%) or a high school diploma or less education (67%) say they disapprove of Biden's job performance, while adults with a postgraduate degree are more evenly divided (48% approve, 50% disapprove).



* Estimates for Asian adults are representative of English speakers only. Note: White, Black and Asian adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic. Hispanics are of any race. No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

Biden is viewed more positively by liberal Democrats than by conservative and moderate

Democrats. Liberal Democrats are more likely than conservative and moderate Democrats to say they approve of Biden (66% vs. 57%), as well as to say they *strongly* approve (37% vs. 29%). Overwhelming majorities of Republicans disapprove of Biden's job as president, including nearly eight-in-ten (77%) who *strongly* disapprove. Conservative Republicans are much more likely than moderate and liberal Republicans to strongly disapprove of Biden (84% vs. 64%).

Please visit <u>detailed tables</u> for current views of Biden's approval rating among other subgroups.

Public confidence in Biden to handle key issues remains low


Large majorities of Americans express little or no confidence in Biden's ability to handle a number of issues, including economic and immigration policy. Confidence in Biden is particularly low in his ability to bring the country closer together.

Only about a quarter of Americans (24%) are very or somewhat confident Biden can bring the country closer together; 75% are not too or not at all confident.

His ratings are better – though largely negative – in other areas. Comparable shares are confident Biden can make good decisions on economic policy (36%), work effectively with Congress (35%) and handle an international crisis (35%). Slightly fewer are

Majorities express low confidence in Biden on the economy, immigration, uniting the country

% who say they are _____ Joe Biden can do each of the following



Note: No answer responses are not shown.

Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

confident he can make wise decisions on immigration policy (32%).

On each issue, roughly two-thirds of Americans say they are not too confident or not at all confident in Biden.

How public confidence in Biden's handling of issues has changed over time


As with Joe Biden's job approval, public confidence in his ability to handle several key issues has declined since the early months of his presidency.

The share of Americans saying they are very or somewhat confident in him to make good decisions on economic policy has fallen 20 percentage points since March 2021 (from 56% to 36%).

There have been similar declines in views of Biden's handling of immigration (21 points) and his ability to bring the country together (24 points).

Confidence in Biden to handle an international crisis has slipped 8 points since last year, from 43% to 35%. The share Public confidence in Biden remains low

% who say they are **very/somewhat confident** Joe Biden can do each of the following



expressing confidence in Biden to work effectively with Congress (35%) is largely unchanged from last year. (These questions were not asked in 2021.)

Majorities of Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents are confident in Biden's ability to handle four of the five dimensions asked on the survey. The exception is bringing the country closer together: 42% of Democrats say they are very or somewhat confident in Biden can do this, while 58% say they are not too or not at all confident he can.

Republicans and Republican leaners express little or no confidence in Biden across all five items. Republicans' confidence in Biden's ability to handle key issues has been low since early in his presidency.

There have been larger declines in the shares of Democrats expressing confidence in him on key issues. This is particularly the case when it comes to bringing the country together: 74% said they were very or somewhat confident in his ability to do this in March 2021. That share fell to 44% in July 2022, and is 42% in the current survey.

3. Views of the parties and Congress

By a 5-percentage-point margin, more Americans say the Republican Party can effectively manage the federal government ($_{30\%}$) than say this about the Democratic Party ($_{25\%}$). A substantial share -44% – say there is no difference between the two parties on this trait.

By comparison, the Democratic Party has an advantage over the Republican Party in several other areas. More Americans say the Democratic Party is better than the Republican Party when it comes to:

- Being willing to work with leaders from the other political party (30% vs. 19%)
- Trying to unite the country (30% vs. 20%)
- Governing in an honest and ethical way (29% vs. 22%)
- Having effective leaders in Congress (26% vs. 21%)
- Being more concerned with the needs of middleclass people (34% vs. 29%)

Americans are about equally divided over which party is better at selecting good candidates for office (25% say the Democratic Party, 23% say the Republican Party) or is more concerned with the

Many Americans don't see differences between the Republican and Democratic parties in many areas

% who say _____ is better described by each of the following phrases

The Republican Party	No differen	ice		
The Democratic Party	between th	e partie	S	R-D advantage
Can effectively manage the federal government	30	25	44	R+5
ls concerned with the needs of people like me	30	32	37	D+2
Selects good candidates for office	23	25	51	D+2
Is concerned with the needs of middle-class people	29	34	37	D+5
Has effective leaders in Congress	21	26	52	D+5
Governs in an honest and ethical way	22	29	49	D+7
Tries to unite the country	20	30	50	D+10
ls willing to work with political leaders from the other party	19	30	49	D+11

Note: Statistically significant differences are shown in **bold**. No answer responses are not shown.

Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec. 3, 2023.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

needs of people like themselves (32% say the Democratic Party, 30% say the Republican Party).

However, on each of these characteristics, substantial shares of Americans – more than a third, and in several cases about half – say they see no difference between the two parties.


Partisans' views of the Republican and Democratic parties

While partisans consistently say each of these positive characteristics better describes their own party than the opposing party, there are some differences in the size of the shares holding these views.

For example, 60% of Republicans say their own party is better able to effectively manage the federal government, compared with half of Democrats who say *their* party is better equipped to do this.

However, Democrats are more likely than Republicans to view their own party as governing honestly and ethically, having effective leaders, being concerned with the needs of middle-class people, trying to unite the country and being willing to work with leaders from the other side.

While partisans tend to view their own party favorably, many see no differences between the parties




Favorability of Congress and the Republican and Democratic parties

About three-quarters of Americans (74%) have an unfavorable view of Congress, while 23% have a favorable view. Congress has been viewed unfavorably by the majority of the public for the last several years. And while these views are relatively unchanged since July, Congress' favorability ratings are <u>some of the most negative seen in nearly four decades of public opinion polling</u>.

Majorities of the public also have unfavorable views of the Republican (64%) and Democratic (61%) parties. Negative views toward both parties are largely unchanged since July but have grown somewhat more negative in recent years: For example, in summer 2019, about four-inten Americans had a favorable view of the Republican Party and 47% had a favorable view of the Democratic Party.

Americans continue to view Congress, both parties more negatively than positively



Note: No answer responses are not shown. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted Nov. 27-Dec.3, 2023.

Acknowledgments

This report is a collaborative effort based on the input and analysis of the following individuals:

Research team

Carroll Doherty, Director, Political Research Jocelyn Kiley, Associate Director, Political Research Baxter Oliphant, Senior Researcher Hannah Hartig, Senior Researcher Gabe Borelli, Research Associate Andrew Daniller, Research Associate Ted Van Green, Research Analyst Andy Cerda, Research Assistant Shanay Gracia, Research Assistant

Communications and editorial

Nida Asheer, Senior Communications Manager Talia Price, Communications Associate Anna Jackson, Editorial Assistant

Graphic design and web publishing

Alissa Scheller, Senior Information Graphics Designer Reem Nadeem, Associate Digital Producer

Methodology

Andrew Mercer, Senior Research Methodologist

Dorene Asare-Marfo, *Panel Manager* Dana Popky, *Associate Panel Manager* Arnold Lau, *Research Methodologist*

Methodology

The American Trends Panel survey methodology

Overview

The American Trends Panel (ATP), created by Pew Research Center, is a nationally representative panel of randomly selected U.S. adults. Panelists participate via self-administered web surveys. Panelists who do not have internet access at home are provided with a tablet and wireless internet connection. Interviews are conducted in both English and Spanish. The panel is being managed by Ipsos.

Data in this report is drawn from ATP Wave 139, conducted from Nov. 27 to Dec. 3, 2023, and includes an <u>oversample</u> of non-Hispanic Asian adults, non-Hispanic Black men, Hispanic men, Republican or Republican-leaning Hispanic adults who are registered to vote, and Republican or Republican-leaning 18- to- 29-year-olds in order to provide more precise estimates of the opinions and experiences of these smaller demographic subgroups. These oversampled groups are weighted back to reflect their correct proportions in the population. A total of 5,203 panelists responded out of 5,655 who were sampled, for a response rate of 92%. The cumulative response rate accounting for nonresponse to the recruitment surveys and attrition is 3%. The break-off rate among panelists who logged on to the survey and completed at least one item is 1%. The margin of sampling error for the full sample of 5,203 respondents is plus or minus 1.8 percentage points.

Panel recruitment

The ATP was created in 2014, with the first cohort of panelists invited to join the panel at the end of a large, national, landline and cellphone random-digit-dial survey that was conducted in both English and Spanish. Two additional recruitments were conducted using the same method in 2015 and 2017, respectively. Across these three surveys, a total of 19,718 adults were invited to join the ATP, of whom 9,942 (50%) agreed to participate.

In August 2018, the ATP switched from telephone to address-based sampling (ABS) recruitment. A study cover letter and a pre-incentive are mailed to a stratified, random sample of households selected from the U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File. This Postal Service file has been estimated to cover as much as 98% of the population, although some studies suggest that the coverage could be in the low 90% range.¹ Within each sampled household, the adult with the next

¹ AAPOR Task Force on Address-based Sampling. 2016. <u>"AAPOR Report: Address-based Sampling."</u>

birthday is asked to participate. Other details of the ABS recruitment protocol have changed over time but are available upon request.²

We have recruited a national sample of U.S. adults to the ATP approximately once per year since 2014. In some years, the recruitment has included additional efforts (known as an "oversample") to boost sample size with underrepresented groups. For example, Hispanic adults, Black adults and Asian adults were oversampled in 2019, 2022 and 2023, respectively.

Across the six address-based

American Trends Panel recruitment surveys

Recruitment dates	Mode	Invited	Joined	Active panelists remaining
Jan. 23 to March 16, 2014	Landline/ cell RDD	9,809	5,338	1,393
Aug. 27 to Oct. 4, 2015	Landline/ cell RDD	6,004	2,976	831
April 25 to June 4, 2017	Landline/ cell RDD	3,905	1,628	405
Aug. 8 to Oct. 31, 2018	ABS	9,396	8,778	3,851
Aug. 19 to Nov. 30, 2019	ABS	5,900	4,720	1,388
June 1 to July 19, 2020; Feb. 10 to March 31, 2021	ABS	3,197	2,812	1,441
May 29 to July 7, 2021; Sept. 16 to Nov. 1, 2021	ABS	1,329	1,162	731
May 24 to Sept. 29, 2022	ABS	3,354	2,869	1,459
April 17 to May 30, 2023	ABS	686	576	435
	Total	43,580	30,859	11,934

Note: RDD is random-digit dial; ABS is address-based sampling. Approximately once per year, panelists who have not participated in multiple consecutive waves or who did not complete an annual profiling survey are removed from the panel. Panelists also become inactive if they ask to be removed from the panel.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

recruitments, a total of 23,862 adults were invited to join the ATP, of whom 20,917 agreed to join the panel and completed an initial profile survey. Of the 30,859 individuals who have ever joined the ATP, 11,934 remained active panelists and continued to receive survey invitations at the time this survey was conducted.

The American Trends Panel never uses breakout routers or chains that direct respondents to additional surveys.

Sample design

The overall target population for this survey was noninstitutionalized persons ages 18 and older living in the U.S., including Alaska and Hawaii. It featured a stratified random sample from the ATP in which non-Hispanic Asian adults, non-Hispanic Black men, Hispanic men, Republican or Republican-leaning Hispanic adults who are registered to vote, and Republican or Republicanleaning 18- to- 29-year-olds were selected with certainty. The remaining panelists were sampled at rates designed to ensure that the share of respondents in each stratum is proportional to its share

² Email <u>pewsurveys@pewresearch.org</u>.

of the U.S. adult population to the greatest extent possible. Respondent weights are adjusted to account for differential probabilities of selection as described in the Weighting section below.

Questionnaire development and testing

The questionnaire was developed by Pew Research Center in consultation with Ipsos. The web program was rigorously tested on both PC and mobile devices by the Ipsos project management team and Pew Research Center researchers. The Ipsos project management team also populated test data that was analyzed in SPSS to ensure the logic and randomizations were working as intended before launching the survey.

Incentives

All respondents were offered a post-paid incentive for their participation. Respondents could choose to receive the post-paid incentive in the form of a check or a gift code to Amazon.com or could choose to decline the incentive. Incentive amounts ranged from \$5 to \$15 depending on whether the respondent belongs to a part of the population that is harder or easier to reach. Differential incentive amounts were designed to increase panel survey participation among groups that traditionally have low survey response propensities.

Data collection protocol

The data collection field period for this survey was Nov. 27 to Dec. 3, 2023. Postcard notifications were mailed to all ATP panelists with a known residential address on Nov. 27.

Invitations were sent out in two separate launches: soft launch and full launch. Sixty panelists were included in the soft launch, which began with an initial invitation sent on Nov. 27. The ATP panelists chosen for the initial soft launch were known responders who had completed previous ATP surveys within one day of receiving their invitation. All remaining English- and Spanish-speaking sampled panelists were included in the full launch and were sent an invitation on Nov. 28.

All panelists with an email address received an email invitation and up to two email reminders if they did not respond to the survey. All ATP panelists who consented to SMS messages received an SMS invitation and up to two SMS reminders.

Invitation and reminder dates, ATP Wave 139

	Soft launch	Full launch
Initial invitation	November 27, 2023	November 28, 2023
First reminder	November 30, 2023	November 30, 2023
Final reminder	December 2, 2023	December 2, 2023
PEW RESEARCH CENTER		

Data quality checks

To ensure high-quality data, the Center's researchers performed data quality checks to identify any respondents showing clear patterns of satisficing. This includes checking for whether respondents left questions blank at very high rates or always selected the first or last answer presented. As a result of this checking, four ATP respondents were removed from the survey dataset prior to weighting and analysis.

Weighting

The ATP data is weighted in a multistep process that accounts for multiple stages of sampling and nonresponse that occur at different points in the survey process. First, each panelist begins with a base weight that reflects their probability of selection for their initial recruitment survey. These weights are then rescaled and adjusted to account for changes in the design of ATP recruitment surveys from year to year. Finally, the weights are calibrated to align with the population benchmarks in the accompanying table to correct for nonresponse to recruitment

Variable **Benchmark source** Age (detailed) 2022 American Community Survey (ACS) Age x Gender Education x Gender Education x Age Race/Ethnicity x Education Black (alone or in combination) x Hispanic Born inside vs. outside the U.S. among Hispanics and Asian Americans Years lived in the U.S. Census region x Metropolitan status Volunteerism 2021 CPS Volunteering & Civic Life Supplement 2022 CPS Voting and Registration Voter registration Supplement Party affiliation x Race/Ethnicity 2023 National Public Opinion Reference Survey (NPORS) Frequency of internet use **Religious affiliation** Note: Estimates from the ACS are based on noninstitutionalized adults. Voter registration is calculated using procedures from Hur, Achen (2013) and rescaled to include the total U.S. adult population.

American Trends Panel weighting dimensions

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

surveys and panel attrition. If only a subsample of panelists was invited to participate in the wave, this weight is adjusted to account for any differential probabilities of selection.

Among the panelists who completed the survey, this weight is then calibrated again to align with the population benchmarks identified in the accompanying table and trimmed at the 1st and 99th percentiles to reduce the loss in precision stemming from variance in the weights. Sampling errors and tests of statistical significance take into account the effect of weighting.

The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the 95% level of confidence for different groups in the survey.

% Plus or minus
1.8 percentage points
2.5 percentage points
2.5 percentage points
3.7 percentage points
2.5 percentage points
3.6 percentage points
2.7 percentage points
3.8 percentage points
6.9 percentage points

Sample sizes and margins of error, ATP Wave 139

Note: This survey includes oversamples of non-Hispanic Asian adults, non-Hispanic Black men, Hispanic men, Republican or Republican-leaning Hispanic adults who are registered to vote, and Republican or Republican-leaning 18- to- 29-year-old respondents. Unweighted sample sizes do not account for the sample design or weighting and do not describe a group's contribution to weighted estimates. See the Sample design and Weighting sections above for details.

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request. In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

Dispositions and response rates

Final dispositions, ATP Wave 139

	AAPOR code	Total
Completed interview	1.1	5,203
Logged on to survey; broke off	2.12	45
Logged on to survey; did not complete any items	2.1121	18
Never logged on (implicit refusal)	2.11	385
Survey completed after close of the field period	2.27	0
Completed interview but was removed for data quality		4
Screened out		0
Total panelists sampled for the survey		5,655
Completed interviews	I	5,203
Partial interviews	Р	0
Refusals	R	448
Non-contact	NC	0
Other	0	4
Unknown household	UH	0
Unknown other	UO	0
Not eligible	NE	0
Total		5,655
AAPOR RR1 = I / (I+P+R+NC+O+UH+UO)		92%
PEW RESEARCH CENTER		

www.pewresearch.org

Cumulative response rate as of ATP Wave 139					
	Total				
Weighted response rate to recruitment surveys	11%				
% of recruitment survey respondents who agreed to join the panel, among those invited	71%				
% of those agreeing to join who were active panelists at start of Wave 139	46%				
Response rate to Wave 139 survey	92%				
Cumulative response rate	3%				

How family income tiers are calculated

Family income data reported in this study is adjusted for household size and cost-of-living differences by geography. Panelists then are assigned to income tiers that are based on the median adjusted family income of all American Trends Panel members. The process uses the following steps:

- 1. First, panelists are assigned to the midpoint of the income range they selected in a family income question that was measured on either the most recent annual profile survey or, for newly recruited panelists, their recruitment survey. This provides an approximate income value that can be used in calculations for the adjustment.
- 2. Next, these income values are adjusted for the cost of living in the geographic area where the panelist lives. This is calculated using price indexes published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. These indexes, known as <u>Regional Price Parities</u> (RPP), compare the prices of goods and services across all U.S. metropolitan statistical areas as well as non-metro areas with the national average prices for the same goods and services. The most recent available data at the time of the annual profile survey is from 2021. Those who fall outside of metropolitan statistical areas are assigned the overall RPP for their state's non-metropolitan area.
- 3. Family incomes are further adjusted for the number of people in a household using the methodology from Pew Research Center's previous work on <u>the American middle class</u>. This is done because a four-person household with an income of say, \$50,000, faces a tighter budget constraint than a two-person household with the same income.

4. Panelists are then assigned an income tier. "Middle-income" adults are in families with adjusted family incomes that are between two-thirds and double the median adjusted family income for the full ATP at the time of the most recent annual profile survey. The median adjusted family income for the panel is roughly \$71,800. Using this median income, the middle-income range is about \$47,900 to \$143,600. Lower-income families have adjusted incomes less than \$47,900 and upper-income families have adjusted incomes greater than \$143,600 (all figures expressed in 2022 dollars and scaled to a household size of three). If a panelist did not provide their income and/or their household size, they are assigned "no answer" in the income tier variable.

Two examples of how a given area's cost-of-living adjustment was calculated are as follows: the Anniston-Oxford metropolitan area in Alabama is a relatively inexpensive area, with a price level that is 16.2% less than the national average. The San Francisco-Oakland-Berkeley metropolitan area in California is one of the most expensive areas, with a price level that is 19.8% higher than the national average. Income in the sample is adjusted to make up for this difference. As a result, a family with an income of \$41,900 in the Anniston-Oxford area is as well off financially as a family of the same size with an income of \$59,900 in San Francisco.

© Pew Research Center, 2023

2023 PEW RESEARCH CENTER'S AMERICAN TRENDS PANEL WAVE 139 DECEMBER 2023 FINAL TOPLINE NOVEMBER 27 – DECEMBER 3, 2023 N=5,203

ASK ALL:

SATIS All in all, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in this country today?

	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	No answer
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	22	77	1
Jul 10-16, 2023	22	77	1
Jun 5-11, 2023	21	78	1
Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	19	80	1
Jan 18-24, 2023	22	78	*
Nov 16-27, 2022	24	75	1
Oct 10-16, 2022	21	79	1
Aug 1-14, 2022	18	81	1
Apr 25-May 1, 2022	24	75	1
Jan 10-17, 2022	21	78	1
Sep 20-26, 2021	25	74	1
Sep 13-19, 2021	26	74	*
Mar 1-7, 2021	33	66	1
Nov 12-17, 2020	22	77	1
Sep 30-Oct 5, 2020	18	82	1
Jul 27-Aug 2, 2020	12	87	1
Jun 16-22, 2020	12	87	1
Apr 7-12, 2020	31	68	1
Mar 19-24, 2020	33	66	1
Oct 29-Nov 11, 2019	31	69	1
Oct 1-13, 2019	28	71	1
Jul 22-Aug 4, 2019	27	72	1
Nov 27-Dec 10, 2018	34	65	1
Jan 29-Feb 13, 2018	36	63	1
Feb 28-Mar 12, 2017 ³	32	68	0
Sep 27-Oct 10, 2016	23	75	2
Jun 7-Jul 5, 2016	19	80	1
Sep 15-Oct 3, 2014	25	75	0

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The W24.5 Mode Study survey was administered by web and phone. Results reported here are from web mode only.

ASK ALL:

POL1JB Do you approve or disapprove of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president? **ASK IF POL1JB=1,2:**

POL1JBSTR Do you [IF POL1JB=1: approve; IF POL1JB=2: disapprove] of the way Joe Biden is handling his job as president...

	NET <u>Approve</u>	Very <u>strongly</u>	Not so <u>strongly</u>	No <u>answer</u>	NET <u>Disapprove</u>	Very <u>strongly</u>	Not so <u>strongly</u>	No <u>answer</u>	No <u>answer</u>
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	33	17	16	*	64	44	19	1	1
Jun 5-11, 2023	35	17	17	1	62	41	20	*	3
Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	37	18	18	*	60	41	19	1	3
Jan 18-24, 2023	38	18	19	1	60	41	18	*	3
Oct 10-16, 2022	38	19	19	1	59	40	18	1	3
Aug 1-14, 2022	37	17	19	1	60	39	20	*	3
Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	37	18	18	1	62	45	16	1	2
Mar 7-13, 2022	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	55	n/a	n/a	n/a	2
Jan 10-17, 2022	41	21	19	1	56	39	18	*	3
Sep 13-19, 2021	44	27	17	*	53	38	15	1	3
Jul 8-18, 2021	55	n/a	n/a	n/a	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	2
Apr 5-11, 2021	59	n/a	n/a	n/a	39	n/a	n/a	n/a	2
Mar 1-7, 2021	54	38	15	1	42	29	12	1	4

See past presidents' approval trends: Donald Trump, Barack Obama, George W. Bush, Bill Clinton

ASK ALL:

INSTFAV Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of each of the following? [RANDOMIZE ITEMS]

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N =2,604]:

Favorable			l	No		
<u>NET</u>	Very	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>NET</u>	Very	<u>Mostly</u>	answer
	-	-		-	-	
35	5	29	64	30	34	2
36	6	30	63	31	32	2
39	7	32	59	26	32	3
37	7	30	61	32	29	2
40	8	32	58	26	33	2
35	7	28	63	31	32	2
38	7	31	60	29	31	2
41	11	30	57	31	27	1
41	9	32	57	26	31	2
41	10	31	57	27	30	2
	35 36 39 37 40 35 38 41 41	NET Very 35 5 36 6 39 7 37 7 40 8 35 7 38 7 41 11 41 9	NET Very Mostly 35 5 29 36 6 30 39 7 32 37 7 30 40 8 32 35 7 28 38 7 31 41 11 30 41 9 32	NETVeryMostlyNET3552964366306339732593773061408325835728633873160411130574193257	NETVeryMostlyNETVery3552964303663063313973259263773061324083258263572863313873160294111305731419325726	NETVeryMostlyNETVeryMostly3552964303436630633132397325926323773061322940832582633357286331323873160293141113057312741932572631

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON:

							(VOL.)	(VOL.)
	Favorable			Unfavorable			Never (Can't rate/
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>heard of</u>	<u>Ref</u>
July 23-Aug 4, 2020	42	15	26	55	33	23	*	3
Jan 8-13, 2020	45	14	31	51	26	24	*	4

 $^{^4}$ In the January 2020 survey, favorability of the Democratic Party and the Republican Party was asked in two different formats. There were no significant differences between the two formats, so results for the questions were combined.

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED ...

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(VOL.) (VOL.)									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		F	avoral	ne	[]	nfavora	hle			
Sep 5-16, 201941113052262615July 10-15, 2019451233522724*3Jan 9-14, 2019421230542430*3Sep 18-24, 2018431033522627*4Jun 8-18, 2017401031542726*5Jun 8-18, 2017401031542726*5Jan 4-9, 2017471235492029*5Ott 20-25, 201635926603029*5Jun 15-26, 201635926603029*5Jun 15-26, 20163592660322*7Jan 7-11, 201541932532429*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*4Jun 12-16, 20133873154252431*7Jun 21, 201338532582534*9Jun 12-1611*7Jun 22, 201437730552431*77Jun 22, 2013336728592336*5Jun 12, 16, 20134832558					_					
	Sep 5-16 2019									
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$										
Sep 18-24, 2018431033522627*4Jun 8-18, 2017401031552530*4Jun 8-18, 2017401031542726*5Apr 5-11, 2017401031552333*5Dct 20-25, 2016401031552333*5Aug 9-16, 20163892956263106Jun 15-26, 201635926603029*5Apr 12-19, 201633924623230*5/Aug 27-0ct 4, 201532726602832*7Det 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*4Jun 12-16, 201338532582534*9Jun 12-16, 201333725582534*9Jun 12-16, 20133362858273118Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Jan 12-20, 2014421230502526*8Jun 2-16, 2012421230502526*8Jan 2-20, 2012										
$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Nar} 7:4, 2018 & 41 & 10 & 31 & 55 & 25 & 30 & * & 4 \\ \operatorname{Jun} 8:18, 2017 & 40 & 10 & 31 & 54 & 27 & 26 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Apr} 5:11, 2017 & 47 & 12 & 35 & 49 & 20 & 29 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Ct} 20:25, 2016 & 40 & 10 & 31 & 55 & 23 & 33 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Aug} 9-16, 2016 & 38 & 9 & 29 & 56 & 26 & 31 & 0 & 6 \\ \operatorname{Jun} 15:26, 2016 & 33 & 9 & 24 & 62 & 32 & 30 & * & 5/ \\ \operatorname{Aug} 27-0ct 4, 2015 & 37 & 6 & 31 & 58 & 26 & 32 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Aug} 27-0ct 4, 2015 & 37 & 6 & 31 & 58 & 26 & 32 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Aug} 27-0ct 4, 2015 & 37 & 6 & 31 & 58 & 26 & 32 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Jun} 7-11, 2015 & 41 & 9 & 32 & 53 & 24 & 29 & * & 6 \\ \operatorname{Oct} 14:20, 2015 & 32 & 7 & 26 & 60 & 28 & 32 & * & 7 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 7-11, 2015 & 41 & 9 & 32 & 53 & 24 & 29 & * & 6 \\ \operatorname{Oct} 14:20, 2014 & 38 & 7 & 31 & 54 & 25 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 23-\operatorname{Mar} 16, 2014 & 37 & 7 & 30 & 55 & 24 & 31 & * & 7 \\ \operatorname{Dec} 3-8, 2013 & (1) & 35 & 8 & 27 & 59 & 28 & 31 & 0 & 6 \\ \operatorname{Oct} 9-13, 2013 & 33 & 6 & 28 & 58 & 27 & 31 & 1 & 8 \\ \operatorname{Dec} 5-9, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 28 & 59 & 23 & 36 & * & 5 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 9-13, 2013 & 33 & 6 & 28 & 58 & 27 & 31 & 1 & 8 \\ \operatorname{Dec} 5-9, 2012 & 26 & 7 & 29 & 55 & 27 & 28 & *8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 42 & 12 & 30 & 50 & 25 & 26 & *8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2012 & 36 & 7 & 30 & 56 & 27 & 29 & * & 8 \\ \operatorname{Jan} 11-16, 2010 & 37 & 8 & 29 & 53 & 26 & 27 & * & 9 \\ \operatorname{Aug} 17-21, 2011 & 43 & 8 & 35 & 48 & 19 & 29 & * & 10 \\ \operatorname{Aag} 11-7, 2009 & 40 & 7 & 33 & 50 & 18 & 32 & * & 10 \\ \operatorname{Aag} 11-7, 2009 & 40 & 7 & 33 & 50 & 18 & 32 & * & 10 \\ \operatorname{Aag} 11-7, 2009 & 40 & 7 & 33 & 50 & 12 & 31 & * & 12 \\ $										
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$										
Apr 5-11, 201740103057273003Jan 4-9, 2017471235492029*5Oct 20-25, 2016401031552333*5Aug 9-16, 20163892956263106Jun 15-26, 201633924623230*5Aug 27-0ct 4, 201532726602832*7Jan 7-11, 201541932532429*6Dec 37, 2014 (U)37928572632*8Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)3582759283106Oct 14-20, 201438731542529*8Jan 23-Mar 16, 201338532582634*9Jun 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 28-Jul 9, 20123672955272889Jun 28-Jul 9, 20123672955272889Aug 17-21,										
Jan 4-9, 2017 47 12 35 49 20 29 * 5 Oct 20-25, 2016 40 10 31 55 23 33 * 5 Aug 9-16, 2016 35 9 26 60 30 29 * 5 Aug 27-Oct 4, 2015 37 6 31 58 26 32 * 5 Jan 7-11, 2015 32 7 26 60 28 32 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2015 41 9 32 53 24 29 * 6 Oct 14-20, 2014 38 7 31 54 25 24 31 * 7 Dec 3-8, 2013 (U) 35 8 27 59 28 31 0 6 Oct 9-13, 2013 38 5 32 58 26 32 * 4 Jun 12-16, 2013 33 6 28 58 23 33 * 5 Jan 213, 2013 36 7 28 23 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td>									5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									3	
Aug 9-16, 20163892956263106Jun 15-26, 201633924623230*5/Aug 27-Oct 4, 201537631582632*5Jul 4, 20, 201532726602832*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*6Oct 14-20, 201438731542529*8Jan 2-Mar 16, 201437730552431*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 9-13, 201338532582534*9Jun 12-16, 201333725582534*9Jun 12-16, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 20126728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 2-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Jun 2-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Jug 2-Nul9, 2011									5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									5	
Apr 12-19, 201633924623230*5/Aug 27-Oct 4, 201537631582632*7Jan 7-11, 201541932532429*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)37928572632*6Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 14-20, 201438730552431*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 9-13, 201333725582534*9Jun 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 2012367202526*8Jun 12-16, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-0ct 4, 201136729592732*7Sep 22-0ct 4, 201136729592732*7Sep 22-0ct 4, 201136729592732*12Aug 17-21, 2011 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Aug 27-Oct 4, 201537631582632*5Jul 14-20, 201532726602832*7Jan 7-11, 201541932532429*6Oct 14-20, 201437928572632*6Oct 14-20, 201437730552431*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 9-13, 201338532582632*4Jul 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 72-11, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201134529592732*1Feb 2-7, 201143835492128*8July 1-5, 201037829532627*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-									
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Oct 14-20, 201438731542529*8Jan 23-Mar 16, 201437730552431*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 9-13, 201338532582632*4Jul 17-21, 201333725582534*9Jun 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 2012421230502526*8Jun 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 28-Jul 9, 201236927562828*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201037829532627*9Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*12April 1-5, 201037829532627*9Mar 18										
Jan 23-Mar 16, 201437730552431*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)3582759283106Oct 9-13, 201333725582632*4Jul 17-21, 201333725582534*9Jun 12-16, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 28-Jul 9, 201236727562828*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201134529592732*7Feb 27, 201144529592732*7Feb 2-7, 201143835481929*9Aug 17-21, 20113453251222817Feb 2-7, 201143835492128*8July 1-5, 201037532512031*12Apei 1-5, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 2009 <t< td=""><td>Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)									
Dec $3-8$, $2013'(U)$ 35 8 27 59 28 31 0 6 Oct $9-13$, 2013 38 5 32 58 26 32 * 4 Jul $17-21$, 2013 33 7 25 58 25 34 * 9 Jun $12-16$, 2013 40 8 32 55 23 33 * 5 Jan $9-13$, 2013 33 6 28 58 27 31 1 8 Dec $5-9$, 2012 36 7 28 59 23 36 * 5 Sep $12-16$, 2012 42 12 30 50 25 26 * 8 Jun 28 -Jul 9 , 2012 36 7 30 56 27 29 * 8 Jan $11-16$, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep $22-Oct 4$, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug $17-21$, 2011 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 Jul $1-5$, 2010 39 10 29 49 24 25 * 12 April $1-5$, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Aug $20-27$, 2009 40 6 34 50 19 31 * 10 Aug $20-27$, 2009 40 7 33 51 17 34 0 <td></td> <td>38</td> <td></td> <td>31</td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		38		31		25				
Oct 9-13, 201338532582632*4Jul 17-21, 201333725582533*9Jun 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Mar 7-11, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201134529592732*7Feb 2-7, 201143835492128*8July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037532512031*12April 1-7, 200940634501931*10Aug 20-27, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940	Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014			30	55		31	*		
Dui 17-21, 2013 33 7 25 58 25 34 * 9 Jun 12-16, 2013 40 8 32 55 23 33 * 5 Jan 9-13, 2013 33 6 28 58 27 31 1 8 Dec 5-9, 2012 36 7 28 59 23 36 * 5 Sep 12-16, 2012 42 12 30 50 25 26 * 8 Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 36 9 27 56 28 28 * 8 Jan 11-16, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 12 April 1-5, 2010 37 5 32 51	Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)	35	8	27	59	28	31	0	6	
Jun 12-16, 201340832552333*5Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Jun 28-Jul 9, 201236927562828*8Mar 7-11, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 20114293251222817Feb 2-7, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835492128*8July 1-5, 201037532512031*12April 1-5, 201037532512031*12Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*10Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2008471136462224*7August,	Oct 9-13, 2013	38	5	32	58	26	32	*	4	
Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Mar 7-11, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201144529592732*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835492128*8July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037532512031*10Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073350183117Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 2008439344831171Late	Jul 17-21, 2013	33	7	25	58	25	34	*	9	
Jan 9-13, 20133362858273118Dec 5-9, 201236728592336*5Sep 12-16, 2012421230502526*8Mar 7-11, 201236730562729*8Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201144529592732*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835492128*8July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037532512031*10Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073350183117Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 2008439344831171Late	Jun 12-16, 2013	40	8	32	55	23	33	*	5	
Dec 5-9, 2012 36 7 28 59 23 36 * 5 Sep 12-16, 2012 42 12 30 50 25 26 * 8 Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 36 9 27 56 28 28 * 8 Jan 11-16, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 34 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mari 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46		33	6	28	58	27	31	1		
Sep 12-16, 2012 42 12 30 50 25 26 * 8 Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 36 9 27 56 28 28 * 8 Mar 7-11, 2012 36 7 30 56 27 29 * 8 Jan 11-16, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 44 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 27, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46 <td< td=""><td></td><td>36</td><td></td><td>28</td><td></td><td></td><td>36</td><td>*</td><td></td></td<>		36		28			36	*		
Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 36 9 27 56 28 28 * 8 Mar 7-11, 2012 36 7 30 56 27 29 * 8 Jan 11-16, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 34 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 10 Aug 20-27, 2009 40 6 34 50				30				*		
Mar 7-11, 2012 36 7 30 56 27 29 * 8 Jan 11-16, 2012 35 7 27 58 28 30 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 34 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 39 10 29 49 24 25 * 12 April 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46 <		36	9	27			28	*		
Jan 11-16, 201235727582830*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 201136729552728*9Aug 17-21, 201134529592732*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 20114293251222817Feb 27, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835492128*8July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 13-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008471136462224*7August, 20083973253223108July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932502030*9July, 2006 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>								*		
Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 36 7 29 55 27 28 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 34 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 39 10 29 49 24 25 * 12 April 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46 14 32 0 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 40 7 33 50 18 32 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 40 7 33 51								*		
Aug 17-21, 2011 34 5 29 59 27 32 * 7 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 42 9 32 51 22 28 1 7 Feb 2-7, 2011 43 8 35 48 19 29 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 39 10 29 49 24 25 * 12 April 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46 14 32 0 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 40 6 34 50 19 31 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 40 7 33 50 18 32 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 40 5 35 55 21 34 * 5 Late October, 2008 40 10 30 50 23 27 * 10 Mid-September, 2008 47 11 36 46 22 24 * 7 August, 2008 39 7 32 53 20 33 * 8 July, 2007 39 7 32 53 20 30 * 9 Late October, 2006 41 <	•							*		
Feb22-Mar1, 20114293251222817Feb2-7, 201143835481929*9Aug25-Sep6, 201043835492128*8July1-5, 2010391029492425*12April1-5, 201037829532627*9Mar18-21, 201037532512031*12Feb3-9, 20104654146143208Aug20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar31-Apr6, 20094073351173409Jan7-1136462224*7August, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117110 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>*</td><td></td></t<>								*		
Feb 2-7, 201143835481929*9Aug 25-Sep 6, 201043835492128*8July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037829532627*9Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 20								1		
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 43 8 35 49 21 28 * 8 July 1-5, 2010 39 10 29 49 24 25 * 12 April 1-5, 2010 37 8 29 53 26 27 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 37 5 32 51 20 31 * 12 Feb 3-9, 2010 46 5 41 46 14 32 0 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 40 6 34 50 19 31 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 40 7 33 50 18 32 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 40 7 33 51 17 34 0 9 Jan 7-11, 2009 40 5 35 55 21 34 * 5 Late October, 2008 47 11 36 46 22 24 * 7 August, 2008 39 7 32 53										
July 1-5, 2010391029492425*12April 1-5, 201037829532627*9Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 20083973253223108Early January, 200741932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 200641932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 200								*		
April 1-5, 201037829532627*9Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9								*		
Mar 18-21, 201037532512031*12Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9								*		
Feb 3-9, 20104654146143208Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9	-							*		
Aug 20-27, 200940634501931*10Aug 11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9								0		
Aug11-17, 200940733501832*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9	-									
Mar 31-Apr 6, 20094073351173409Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9	-							*		
Jan 7-11, 200940535552134*5Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
Late October, 2008401030502327*10Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9			-				-			
Mid-September, 2008471136462224*7August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
August, 20084393449183117Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
Late May, 200839732532033*8July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
July, 20073973253223108Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
Early January, 200741932482127110Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
Late October, 200641932502030*9July, 200640103052232917April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
July, 2006 40 10 30 52 23 29 1 7 April, 2006 40 10 30 50 21 29 * 10 February, 2006 44 11 33 50 24 26 * 6 Late October, 2005 42 12 30 49 24 25 * 9 July, 2005 48 13 35 43 18 25 * 9										
April, 2006401030502129*10February, 2006441133502426*6Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9	-									
February, 2006 44 11 33 50 24 26 * 6 Late October, 2005 42 12 30 49 24 25 * 9 July, 2005 48 13 35 43 18 25 * 9										
Late October, 2005421230492425*9July, 2005481335431825*9										
July, 2005 48 13 35 43 18 25 * 9										
	-									
June, 2005 48 11 37 44 20 24 0 8										
	June, 2005	48	11	37	44	20	24	0	8	

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED ...

PHONE TREND FOR								
							(VOL.)	(VOL.)
	F	avoral	ole	U	nfavora	ble	Never	Can't rate/
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>Total</u>	Very	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>heard of</u>	<u>Ref</u>
December, 2004	52	15	37	42	17	25	0	6
June, 2004	51	12	39	40	14	26	0	9
Early February, 2004	52	14	38	42	16	26	*	6
June, 2003	58	14	44	33	10	23	0	9
April, 2003	63	14	49	31	10	21	*	6
December, 2002	59	18	41	33	11	22	*	8
July, 2001	48	11	37	42	15	27	*	10
January, 2001	56	13	43	35	13	22	*	9
September, 2000 (RVs)	53	11	42	40	12	28	0	7
August, 1999	53	8	45	43	12	31	*	4
February, 1999	44	7	37	51	15	36	0	5
January, 1999	44	10	34	50	23	27	0	6
Early December, 1998	46	11	35	47	20	27	*	7
Early October, 1998 (RVs)	52	9	43	42	14	28	0	6
Early September, 1998	56	9	47	37	11	26	*	7
March, 1998	50	10	40	43	12	31	*	7
August, 1997	47	9	38	47	11	36	*	6
June, 1997	51	8	43	42	11	31	1	6
January, 1997	52	8	44	43	10	33	*	5
October, 1995	52	10	42	44	16	28	*	4
December, 1994	67	21	46	27	8	19	*	6
July, 1994	63	12	51	33	8	25	*	4
May, 1993	54	12	42	35	10	25	0	11
July, 1992	46	9	37	48	17	31	*	6

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=2,604]: INSTFAV CONTINUED ...

Sep 18-24, 2018

Mar 7-14, 2018

Jun 8-18, 2017

------ Favorable ------ Unfavorable ------

NI -

*

*

*

									No
		<u>NET</u>	Ver	<u>у Мо</u>	<u>ostly</u>	<u>NET</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	answer
b.	The Democratic Party								
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	37	7		30	61	29	32	2
	Jul 10-16, 2023	37	6		32	61	30	31	1
	Mar 20-26, 2023	40	6		34	58	29	28	3
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	41	8		33	57	30	27	2
	Mar 21-27, 2022	43	7		36	55	28	27	2
	Jan 10-17, 2022	43	7		35	55	28	28	2
	Mar 1-7, 2021	47	10		37	51	24	26	2
	Jul 27-Aug 2, 2020	46	11		35	53	29	24	1
	Jan 6-19, 2020⁵	44	8		36	54	26	28	2
	Jul 22-Aug 4, 2019	47	10		37	51	27	24	1
DUONE									
PHONE	TREND FOR COMPARISON:	_						• •	(VOL.)
		F	avorab	le	L	Jnfavora		Never	Can't rate/
		<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>		
	July 23-Aug 4, 2020	42	10	32	55	33	22	*	3
	Jan 8-13, 2020	46	11	34	50	29	21	*	4
	Sep 5-16, 2019	47	11	35	48	27	22	*	5
	July 10-15, 2019	45	10	35	52	27	24	0	3
	Jan 9-14, 2019	49	12	38	47	25	21	*	4

⁵ In the January 2020 survey, favorability of the Democratic Party and the Republican Party was asked in two different formats. There were no significant differences between the two formats, so results for the questions were combined.

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED ...

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED									
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$									(VOL.)	
Apr 5-11, 2017451134512625*4Jan 4-9, 2017511338451826*4Aug 9-16, 2016521537452222*4Aug 9-16, 2016491733462323*5Apr 12-19, 2016451431502723*4Aug 27-oct 4, 201548123647222505Jul 14-20, 2015481236472225*7Jan 7-11, 2015461234482128*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)411130542125*7Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014461234472324*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)471532482424*5Oct 9-13, 2013411031502328*7Jun 12-16, 201351143747732514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jun 23-82012471433451825*7Jan 11-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 23-Wei 2, 2012481332451926*9<									`	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	-									
Apr 12-19, 2016451431502723*4Aug 27-Oct 4, 2015451035502426*5Jul 4-20, 201548123647222505Jan 7-11, 2015461234482128*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)411135462125*7Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014461234472324*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)471532482424*5Oct 9-13, 20134793948222704Jul 17-21, 201351143745192605Jan 9-13, 2013471334461828*7Dec 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jan 1-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 1-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 1-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 1-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 1-16, 2012431329522725*6Aug 25-										
Aug 27-Oct 4, 2015451035502426*5Jul 14-20, 201548123647222505Jan 7-11, 2015461234482128*6Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)411130542628*5Oct 15-20, 2014471135462125*7Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014461234472324*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)471532482424*5Oct 9-13, 2013411031502328*9Jun 12-16, 201351143745192605Jan 9-13, 2013471334461828*7Jbac 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jan 28-Jul 9, 2012471433452124*8Mar 7-11, 2011431329512328*7Jan 11-16, 2012431329512328*7Jan 12-17, 201143934502129*6Aug 27-27, 2011411335461729*6 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$									5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Oct 15-20, 2014471135462125*7Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014461234472324*7Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)471532482424*5Oct 9-13, 2013411031502328*9Jul 17-21, 201351143745192605Jan 9-13, 2013471334461828*7Dec 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012471436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012491436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012491436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012431329512328*7Sep 22-Ot4, 2011431329512328*7Jan 27-21, 201143934502129*7Feb 2-7, 2011431336442024*11Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*11Aug 11-5, 2010489944177*8Au										
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$									כ ד	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Jul 17-21, 2013411031502328*9Jun 12-16, 201351143745192605Jan 9-13, 2013471334461828*7Dec 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012471433452124*8Mar 7-11, 2012491436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012431329512328*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011461332451926*9Aug 17-21, 2011471335461729*6Feb 2-7, 2011471335442024*7July 1-5, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 2010481231452223*11Feb 3-9, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571641371324*6July,										
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										
Jan 9-13, 2013471334461828*7Dec 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012471433452124*8Mar 7-11, 2012491436431825*7Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011461332451926*9Aug 17-21, 201143934502129*6Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011471335461729*6Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571641371324*6Late October, 2008571641371324*6Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647123542142708 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>										
Dec 5-9, 201248113747232514Sep 12-16, 2012532132401822*7Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012471436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012491436431825*7Jan 11-16, 2012431329512328*7Sep 22-0ct 4, 201143934502129*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011481434451827*6Feb 2-7, 2011471335461729*6Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 2010441231452223*11April 1-5, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 20096219433212*6Late October, 2008571641371324*6La										
Sep 12-16, 2012 53 21 32 40 18 22 * 7 Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 47 14 33 45 21 24 * 8 Mar 7-11, 2012 43 13 29 51 23 28 * 7 Jan 11-16, 2012 43 13 29 51 23 28 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 46 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 43 9 34 50 21 29 * 6 Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 47 13 35 46 17 29 * 6 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 50 13 36 44 20 24 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 48 9 39 44 17 27 * 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>										
Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 47 14 33 45 21 24 * 8 Mar 7-11, 2012 49 14 36 43 18 25 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 46 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 48 14 34 45 18 27 * 6 Feb 27, 2011 47 13 35 46 17 29 * 6 Feb 27, 2011 47 13 36 44 20 24 * 7 July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 40 8 32 49 25 24 * 10 Aug 20-7, 2010 48 9 39 44 17 27 * 8 Aug 20-7, 2009 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 49 12 37 40										
Jan 20 Jan 9, 2012 47 14 36 43 11 21 * 7 Jan 11-16, 2012 43 13 29 51 23 28 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 46 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 43 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 50 13 36 44 20 24 * 7 July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 38 9 29 52 27 25 * 9 Mar 7-17, 2001 40 8 32 49 25 24 * 11 Feb 3-9, 2010 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 43 13 21 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2009 62 19 43 32 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
hui 7 11, 2012 43 13 29 40 12 28 * 7 Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 46 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 43 13 32 45 19 26 * 9 Aug 17-21, 2011 48 14 34 45 18 27 * 6 Feb 2-7, 2011 47 13 35 46 17 29 * 6 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 50 13 36 44 20 24 * 7 July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 Feb 3-9, 2010 48 9 39 44 17 27 * 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 59 15 44 34 13 21 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2009 62 19 43 32										
Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011461332451926*9Aug 17-21, 201143934502129*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011481434451827*6Feb 2-7, 2011471335461729*6Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 2010441231452223*11April 1-5, 2010489292524*11Feb 3-9, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Mar 11-7, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571641371324*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2006531340361125*11July, 2006471235411526*10July, 2006 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Aug 17-21, 201143934502129*7Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011481434451827*6Feb 2-7, 2011471335461729*6Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 2010441231452223*11April 1-5, 201038929522725*9Mar 18-21, 201040832492524*10Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11July, 2006471334441727%9July, 2006471334441727%9July,										
Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 48 14 34 45 18 27 * 6 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 50 13 36 44 20 24 * 7 July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 48 9 29 52 27 25 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 40 8 32 49 25 24 * 10 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 49 12 37 40 16 25 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 59 15 44 34 13 21 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2009 62 19 38 33 15 18 * 10 Mid-September, 2008 57 16 41										
Feb 2-7, 2011 47 13 35 46 17 29 * 6 Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 50 13 36 44 20 24 * 7 July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 38 9 29 52 27 25 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 40 8 32 49 25 24 * 11 Feb 3-9, 2010 48 9 39 44 17 27 * 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 62 19 43 32 12 20 * 6 Late October, 2008 57 19 38 33 15 18 * 10 Mid-September, 2008 57 16 41 37 13 24 * 6 Late May, 2008 57 16 41 37 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>										
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010501336442024*7July 1-5, 2010441231452223*11April 1-5, 201038929522725*9Mar 18-21, 201040832492524*11Feb 3-9, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 200751133841423*6July, 200751133444133127April, 200647133444133127April, 200648143444133127April, 2005501535411427*6July, 200550								*		
July 1-5, 2010 44 12 31 45 22 23 * 11 April 1-5, 2010 38 9 29 52 27 25 * 9 Mar 18-21, 2010 40 8 32 49 25 24 * 11 Feb 3-9, 2010 48 9 39 44 17 27 * 8 Aug 20-27, 2009 48 11 37 43 19 24 * 10 Aug 11-17, 2009 49 12 37 40 16 25 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 59 15 44 34 13 21 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2009 62 19 43 32 12 20 * 6 Late October, 2008 57 19 38 33 15 18 * 10 Mid-September, 2008 57 16 41 37 13 24 * 6 July, 2007 51 13 38 41										
April 1-5, 2010 38 929 52 27 25 *9Mar 18-21, 2010408 32 49 25 24 *11Feb 3-9, 2010489 39 44 17 27 *8Aug 20-27, 20094811 37 4319 24 *10Aug 11-17, 20094912 37 4016 25 *10Mar 31-Apr 6, 20095915 44 3413 21 *7Jan 7-11, 20096219 43 32 12 20 *6Late October, 20085719 38 33 1518*10Mid-September, 20085518 37 39 14 25 *6August, 20085716 41 37 13 24 *6Late May, 20085714 43 37 14 23 *6July, 20075113 38 41 14 27 08Early January, 20075415 39 35 12 23 *11Late October, 200653 13 40 36 11 25 *10July, 200647 12 35 42 14 28 *11February, 200648 14 34 44 17 27 08Late Octob								*		
Mar18-21, 201040832492524*11Feb3-9, 201048939441727*8Aug20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug11-17, 2009491237401625*10Mar31-Apr6, 2009591544341321*7Jan7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 200648143444172708Late October, 200550153541142799June, 2005501535411427*9June, 20055015354114 </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	-							*		
Feb 3-9, 201048939441727*8Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11July, 2006471235421428*11July, 2006471235411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 200454124236112510June, 2004541242361125010Late October, 200458<								*		
Aug 20-27, 2009481137431924*10Aug 11-17, 2009491237401625*10Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571641371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 2006471235421428*11July, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2003<								*		
Aug 11-17, 2009 49 12 37 40 16 25 * 10 Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 59 15 44 34 13 21 * 7 Jan 7-11, 2009 62 19 43 32 12 20 * 6 Late October, 2008 57 19 38 33 15 18 * 10 Mid-September, 2008 55 18 37 39 14 25 * 6 Late May, 2008 57 16 41 37 13 24 * 6 Late May, 2008 57 14 43 37 14 23 * 6 July, 2007 51 13 38 41 14 27 0 8 Early January, 2007 54 15 39 35 12 23 * 11 July, 2006 47 13 34 44 13 31 2 7 April, 2006 47 12 35 42								*		
Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009591544341321*7Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 2006471235421428*11July, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>								*		
Jan 7-11, 2009621943321220*6Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*6June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003								*		
Late October, 2008571938331518*10Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003								*		
Mid-September, 2008551837391425*6August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	-							*		
August, 2008571641371324*6Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7								*		
Late May, 2008571443371423*6July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7								*		
July, 200751133841142708Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7								*		
Early January, 2007541539351223*11Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7		51	13	38	41	14	27	0	8	
Late October, 2006531340361125*11July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7										
July, 200647133444133127April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7								*		
April, 2006471235421428*11February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7			13					2	7	
February, 200648143444172708Late October, 2005491435411526*10July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7		47	12	35	42	14	28	*	11	
July, 2005501535411427*9June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7		48	14	34	44	17	27	0	8	
June, 2005521240391326*9December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	Late October, 2005	49	14	35	41	15	26	*	10	
December, 2004531340411427*6June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7		50	15	35	41	14	27	*	9	
June, 2004541242361125010Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	June, 2005	52	12	40	39	13	26	*	9	
Early February, 200458144437928*5June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	December, 2004	53		40	41			*		
June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	June, 2004	54	12	42	36	11	25	0	10	
June, 200354114338102808April, 2003571344361125*7	Early February, 2004	58	14	44	37	9	28	*		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	June, 2003	54	11	43	38	10	28			
December 2002 54 15 39 37 10 27 * 9	April, 2003	57		44	36	11	25			
	December, 2002	54	15	39	37	10	27		9	
July, 2001 58 18 40 34 10 24 * 8	July, 2001	58	18	40	34	10	24	*	8	

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED ...

PHONE IREND FOR	COMP	ARIS	ON CON	INUED	•••			
							(VOL.)	(VOL.)
	F	avoral	ole	U	nfavora	ble	Never	Can't rate/
	<u>Total</u>	Very	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>heard of</u>	<u>Ref</u>
January, 2001	60	18	42	30	9	21	1	9
September, 2000 (RVs)	60	16	44	35	12	23	*	5
August, 1999	59	14	45	37	9	28	*	4
February, 1999	58	11	47	37	11	26	0	5
January, 1999	55	14	41	38	12	26	0	7
Early December, 1998	59	18	41	34	10	24	0	7
Early October, 1998 (RVs)	56	11	45	38	9	29	*	6
Early September, 1998	60	13	47	33	8	25	*	7
March, 1998	58	15	43	36	10	26	*	6
August, 1997	52	11	41	42	10	32	0	6
June, 1997	61	10	51	33	8	25	*	6
January, 1997	60	13	47	35	7	28	*	5
October, 1995	49	9	40	48	11	37	0	3
December, 1994	50	13	37	44	13	31	*	6
July, 1994	62	13	49	34	7	27	*	4
May, 1993	57	14	43	34	9	25	0	9
July, 1992	61	17	44	33	9	24	*	6

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=2,604]: INSTFAV CONTINUED ...

	INSTFAV CONTINUED		Favorab	le	Ui	No		
		<u>NET</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>NET</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	answer
с.	Congress							
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	23	2	21	74	22	52	3
	Jul 10-16, 2023	26	1	24	72	17	54	2
	Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	26	2	24	72	19	53	2
	Aug 1-14, 2022	31	3	27	66	19	47	3
	Jan 10-17, 2022	28	2	26	70	22	48	2
	Apr 5-11, 2021	36	3	33	61	17	44	2
	Jul 27-Aug 2, 2020	35	4	31	63	17	45	2
	Jan 6-19, 2020	33	3	30	65	20	45	2
	Jul 22-Aug 4, 2019	35	3	32	64	19	45	1

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON:

		avoral			nfavora	ble	(VOL.) Never	(VOL.) Can't rate/
	Total	Very	Mostly	Total	Verv	Mostly	heard of	<u>Ref</u>
Jul 23-Aug 4, 2020	27	6	<u>21</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>39</u>	*	4
Jan 8-13, 2020	34	6	27	62	25	36	*	5
Jul 10-15, 2019	36	6	30	59	23	36	0	5
Mar 7-14, 2018	30	4	26	67	27	40	*	3
Apr 5-11, 2017	34	5	29	62	24	38	*	4
Jun 15-26, 2016	31	10	22	63	29	34	*	5
Sep 22-27, 2015	27	4	23	69	29	40	0	4
Jul 14-20, 2015	25	5	20	69	31	38	*	5
Mar 25-29, 2015	22	4	18	72	34	38	*	5
Dec 3-7, 2014 (U)	22	4	18	71	34	37	*	7
Jul 8-14, 2014	28	5	23	69	28	41	*	4
Feb 12-Feb 26, 2014	23	5	18	72	35	37	*	5
Dec 3-8, 2013 (U)	27	6	21	67	32	35	*	6

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED...

								(VOL.)
	F	avorat	ole	U	nfavora	ble	(VOL.) Never	Can't rate/
			<u>Mostly</u>	Total	Very	Mostly	heard of	<u>Ref</u>
Oct 9-13, 2013	23	4	19	73	32	42	0	4
Jul 17-21, 2013	21	3	18	70	33	37	*	9
Jan 9-13, 2013	23	4	19	68	32	36	*	9
Dec 5-9, 2012	27	4	22	67	24	43	1	6
Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012	27	5	22	65	30	35	*	8
Jan 11-16, 2012	23	5	18	69	33	36	*	8
Aug 17-21, 2011	25	4	21	70	30	40	4	6
Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011	34	4	30	57	21	36	1	8
Jul 1-5, 2010	33	6	27	56	23	33	*	11
Apr 1-5, 2010	25	3	22	65	30	36	*	9
Mar 18-21, 2010	26	3	23	62	23	39	*	12
Feb 3-9, 2010	41	3	38	50	17	34	0	9
Aug 20-27, 2009	37	4	33	52	20	32	*	11
Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009	50	10	40	43	15	28	*	7
Jan 7-11, 2009	40	5	35	52	20	32	*	8
Late May, 2008	41	6	35	51	17	34	0	8
Jul, 2007	41	6	35	51	16	35	0	8
Early January, 2007	53	11	42	38	9	29	1	8
Late October, 2006	41	5	36	46	15	31	*	13
February, 2006	44	6	38	47	14	33	0	9
Late October, 2005	45	7	38	45	13	32	*	10
July, 2005	49	6	43	40	11	29	*	11
June, 2005	49	6	43	40	10	30	*	11
June, 2004	56	7	49	33	7	26	*	11
July, 2001	57	7	50	32	8	24	*	11
March, 2001	56	6	50	36	10	26	1	7
January, 2001	64	10	54	23	5	18	1	12
September, 2000 (RVs)	61	8	53	32	5	27	*	7
August, 1999	63	8	55	34	7	27	*	3
June, 1999	56	9	47	39	9	30	*	5
February, 1999	52	4	48	44	8	36	0	4
January, 1999	48	7	41	45	15	30	0	7
Early December, 1998	52	11	41	41	12	29	0	7
Early October, 1998 (RVs)	62	7	55	33	8	25	0	5
Early September, 1998	66	7	59	27	5	22	0	7
October, 1997	53	5	48	44	11	33	0	3
August, 1997	50	6	44	44	11	33	0	6
June, 1997	52	4	48	42	8	34	0	6
May, 1997	49	5	44	42	10	32	*	9
February, 1997	52	6	46	40	9	31	*	8
January, 1997	56	6	50	40	8	32	*	4
June, 1996	45	6	39	50	12	38	*	5
April, 1996	45	6	39	50	13	37	0	5
January, 1996	42	4	38	54	16	38	*	4
October, 1995	42	4	38	55	13	42	0	3
August, 1995	45	5	40	47	13	34	*	7
June, 1995	53	8	45	42	11	31	*	5

www.pewresearch.org

PHONE TREND FOR COMPARISON CONTINUED...

							(VOL.)	(VOL.)
	Favorable			U	nfavora	ble	Never (Can't rate/
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Very</u>	<u>Mostly</u>	<u>heard of</u>	<u>Ref</u>
February, 1995	54	10	44	37	10	27	0	9
July, 1994	53	7	46	43	9	34	*	4
May, 1993	43	8	35	48	13	35	0	9
November, 1991	51	7	44	43	9	34	0	6
March, 1991	66	16	50	26	7	19	0	8
May, 1990	59	6	53	34	9	25	1	6
May, 1988	64	8	56	28	5	23	0	8
January, 1988	64	6	58	29	4	25	0	7
May, 1987	74	10	64	20	4	16	*	6
January, 1987	59	7	52	31	8	23	0	10
July, 1985	67	9	58	26	5	21	*	7

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL:

JBCONF

Thinking about Joe Biden's ability to handle a number of things, how confident are you that Joe Biden can do each of the following? **[RANDOMIZE ITEMS]**

		Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	No
		<u>confident</u>	<u>confident</u>	<u>confident</u>	<u>confident</u>	answer
ECON	Make good decisions about					
	economic policy					
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	13	23	25	39	1
	Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	10	28	26	35	1
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	9	29	22	39	1
	Jan 10-17, 2022	12	33	23	33	1
	Sep 13-19, 2021	17	31	19	32	1
	Mar 1-7, 2021	24	32	17	27	*
	Nov 12-17, 2020	21	31	19	28	1
	Sep 30-Oct 5, 2020	17	33	19	30	1
	Jun 16-22, 2020	12	35	23	29	1
CRIS	Handle an international crisis					
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	14	21	24	41	1
	Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	13	26	26	35	1
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	14	26	21	38	1
	Jan 10-17, 2022	16	28	21	35	1
IMMI	Make wise decisions about immigration					
	policy					
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	9	23	26	42	1
	Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	8	26	27	38	1
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	9	30	21	39	1
	Jan 10-17, 2022	10	30	24	34	1
	Sep 13-19, 2021	14	29	20	37	1
	Mar 1-7, 2021	22	31	15	31	*

JBCONF	CONTINUED	Very <u>confident</u>	Somewhat <u>confident</u>	Not too <u>confident</u>	Not at all <u>confident</u>	No <u>answer</u>
CONG	Work effectively with Congress					
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	8	27	32	32	1
	Mar 27-Apr 2, 2023	6	31	32	29	1
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	8	30	32	30	1
	Jan 10-17, 2022	9	32	33	25	1
CLSR	Bring the country closer together					
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	6	18	32	44	1
	Jun 27-Jul 4, 2022	6	20	32	42	1
	Jan 10-17, 2022	5	25	31	39	1
	Sep 13-19, 2021	9	25	28	37	1
	Mar 1-7, 2021	15	33	24	28	1
	Sep 30-Oct 5, 2020	17	32	20	29	1
	Jun 16-22, 2020	14	30	25	30	1

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:

REPFIELD Overall, what's your impression of the candidates running for the Republican presidential nomination? AS A GROUP, would you say the candidates are...

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

DAGED ON KEI ODEICAN		TOWING TO TO		· [III - 1/301]	•
	Excellent	<u>Good</u>	<u>Only fair</u>	Poor	No answer
2024 Election					
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	9	45	35	11	1
PHONE TREND FOR CO	MPARISON:				

	<u>Excellent</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Only fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	(VOL.) <u>DK/Ref</u>
2016 Election					
Sep 22-27, 2015	15	44	29	10	2
May 12-18, 2015	11	46	32	8	3
2012 Election					
Mar 7-11, 2012	6	43	39	9	2
Jan 26-29, 2012	8	38	40	12	2
Jan 4-8, 2012	5	46	34	10	6
Nov 9-14, 2011	10	38	39	7	6
Aug 17-21, 2011	9	40	38	6	7
May 25-30, 2011	5	39	36	7	13
2008 Election					
February, 2008	10	50	31	7	2
January, 2008	11	57	28	3	1
November, 2007	9	47	34	7	3
October, 2007 ⁶	5	46	36	7	6
September, 2007	8	41	36	8	6
1996 Election					
October, 1995	5	46	40	6	3
1988 Election					
January 1988 (GP)	6	50	35	4	5

⁶ Before October 2007, answer choice read "Fair" not "Only fair." In October 2007, the question was asked both ways, and no difference was found. As a result, October 2007 numbers combine both versions of the question. In 1988, 1991 and 1993, question asked about "men running for the [party] presidential nomination."

ASK ALL REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN OR NO LEAN (XPARTYSUMFINAL=1,9): REPNOMOE Thinking about the 2024 presidential election, who would be your first choice for the Republican nomination for president?

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

Nov 27-Dec 3,	
<u>2023</u>	
52	Donald Trump
14	Ron DeSantis
11	Nikki Haley
3	Vivek Ramaswamy
1	Chris Christie
18	No answer

TREND FOR COMPARISON BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS:

First choice

	Apr 5-	Mar 2-	Nov 24-	Aug 11-	Mar 10-
	May 2,	28,	Dec 22,	Sep 8,	Apr 6,
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2015</u>
Donald Trump	42	38	30	22	1
Ted Cruz	24	24	15	6	5
John Kasich	10	8	1	2	*
Marco Rubio	2	8	12	4	4
Ben Carson	1	3	12	10	5
Rand Paul	1	2	3	3	5
Jeb Bush	1	1	4	9	8
Other	7	4	9	18	35
No answer	13	12	14	25	37

ASK ALL REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN OR NO LEAN REGISTERED VOTERS

(XPARTYSUMFINAL=1,9 & XREG=1): PRICANDSAT_R Now thinking abo

Now thinking about some of the candidates running for the Republican nomination for president...

Regardless of who your first choice is, how would you feel if each of the following became the Republican nominee in 2024? [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; RANDOMIZE RESPONSE OPTIONS 1-4 AND 4-1 WITH 5 ALWAYS LAST; SHOW RESPONSE OPTIONS IN THE SAME ORDER FOR EACH RESPONDENT]

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

		Very <u>satisfied</u>	Somewhat <u>satisfied</u>	Somewhat <u>dissatisfied</u>	Very <u>dissatisfied</u>	Have not heard enough to say	No <u>answer</u>
DT	Donald Trump Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	49	22	11	16	1	*
RDS	Ron DeSantis Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	25	38	15	12	9	*
NK	Nikki Haley Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	15	33	16	16	20	*
VR	Vivek Ramaswamy Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	7	29	19	17	27	*
CC	Chris Christie Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	3	15	24	44	14	1

ASK ALL REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN OR NO LEAN (XPARTYSUMFINAL=1,9): REPDEALFGHT Which is more important to you in a Republican presidential candidate?

REPDEALFGHT Which is more important to you in a Republican presidential candidate? Someone who, if elected president, will focus on... **[RANDOMIZE]**

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

Nov 27-Dec 3

<u>2023</u>	
50	Finding common ground with Democrats on policies, even if it means giving up some things Republicans
	want
49	Pushing hard for policies Republicans want, even it makes it much harder to get some things done

1 No answer

TREND FOR COMPARISON BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS:

REPDEALFGHT Which is more important to you for Donald Trump to focus on

	Jan 6-19	Sep 3-15
	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>
Finding common ground with Democrats on policies,	43	47
even if it means giving up some things Republicans		
want		
Pushing hard for policies Republicans want,	54	52
even it makes it much harder to get some things done		
No answer	3	1

TREND FOR COMPARISON BASED ON DEMOCRAT/LEAN DEMOCRAT REGISTERED VOTERS:

DEMDEALFGHT Which is more important to you in a Democratic presidential candidate? Someone who, if elected president, will focus on...

Finding common ground with Republicans on policies, even if it means giving up some things Democrats	Jan 6-19, <u>2020</u> 63	Sep 3-15 <u>2019</u> 63
want Pushing hard for policies Democrats want, even it makes it much harder to get some things done	35	36
No answer	2	1

ASK ALL REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN OR NO LEAN (XPARTYSUMFINAL=1,9):

PRMRYSYT Overall, how good a job do you think next year's caucuses and primaries will do at selecting the best Republican candidate for the general election?

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

Nov 27-Dec 3

<u>2023</u>

- 10 Very good job
- 58 Somewhat good job
- 25 Somewhat bad job
- 6 Very bad job
- 1 No answer

TREND FOR COMPARISON:

Overall, how good a job do you think this year's caucuses and primaries will do at selecting the best Democratic candidate for the general election?

BASED ON DEMOCRAT/LEAN DEMOCRAT REGISTERED VOTERS

	Jan 6-19
	<u>2020</u>
Very good job	10
Somewhat good job	67
Somewhat bad job	18
Very bad job	3
No answer	1

RANDOMIZE ORDER OF REPPRI_FCS AND REPRIMINT ASK ALL:

REPPRI_FCS So far, would you say the campaign for the Republican nomination has been... [RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

	Focused on	Not focused on	No
	<u>the right issues</u>	<u>the right issues</u>	answer
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	51	45	4

RANDOMIZE ORDER OF REPPRI_FCS AND REPRIMINT

ASK ALL : REPPRIMINT

REPPRIMINT So far, would you say the campaign for the Republican nomination has been... [RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

	Interesting	<u>Dull</u>	No answer
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	42	56	2

ASK ALL:

REPPRIMCOMP From what you've read or heard, do you think the competition for the Republican nomination in 2024 will be... [RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

	<u>A close race</u>	<u>Not a close race</u>	<u>No answer</u>
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	33	66	1

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:

PARTYTRAITH2H

Thinking about the Republican and Democratic parties...

Which party do you think is better described by each of the following phrases? [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; OBSERVE FORM SPLITS; RANDOMIZE 1 AND 2 WITH **OPTION 3 ALWAYS LAST; PRESERVE SAME ORDER (1 AND 2) FOR RESPONDENTS**]

		The Republican <u>Party</u>	The Democratic <u>Party</u>	No difference between the parties	No answer
ask fo i Fed	RM 1 ONLY [N=2,604]: Can effectively manage the federal	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
	government Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	30	25	44	1
WORK	Is willing to work with political leaders from the other party Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	19	30	49	1
PLM	Is concerned with the needs of people like me	20	22	27	1
	Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	30	32	37	1
SEL	Selects good candidates for office Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	23	25	51	1
	RM 2 ONLY [N=2,599]:				
HON	Governs in a honest and ethical way Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	22	29	49	1
UNI	Tries to unite the country Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	20	30	50	1
LEAD	Has effective leaders in Congress Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	21	26	52	1
MID	Is concerned with the needs of middle-				
	class people Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	29	34	37	1

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN OR NO LEAN (XPARTYSUM=1,9):

DTREPPTY Finally...

Since he entered politics, has Donald Trump's impact on the Republican Party been... [RANDOMIZE ORDER 1-5 OR 5-1 FOR RANDOM HALF OF RESPONDENTS]

BASED ON REPUBLICAN/LEAN REPUBLICAN REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1,901]:

	Very	Somewhat	Neither positive	Somewhat	Very	No
	<u>positive</u>	<u>positive</u>	<u>nor negative</u>	<u>negative</u>	<u>negative</u>	<u>answer</u>
Nov 27-Dec 3, 2023	32	30	13	16	9	1

ASK ALL:

PARTY In politics today, do you consider yourself a:

ASK IF INDEP/SOMETHING ELSE (PARTY=3 or 4) OR MISSING:

PARTYLN As of today do you lean more to...⁷

			Something	No	Lean	Lean
<u>Republican</u>	<u>Democrat</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>else</u>	answer	<u>Rep</u>	<u>Dem</u>
28	29	27	13	3	17	18

⁷ PARTY and PARTYLN asked in a prior survey.