

Approaches to reform of intergovernmental relations

Ehtisham Ahmad

Issues

- Inadequate outcomes of sub-national operations
- Poor or cost-ineffective delivery of services including in OECD Brosio 2009) countries (Ahmad, Brosio, Tanzi 2008, see also Ahmad and
- Inadequate autonomy or accountability (Bardhan 2009)
- Macro-economic difficulties (apportionment of deficits in EU countries)
- How to make constitutional reforms operational?
- Political economy and institutional constraints
- Attempts to disentangle spending assignments and revenue raising powers, and establish new transfer systems
- □ Spain
- □ Germany
- □ Italy
- Why is it so difficult to make progress with policy reforms?

Disentangling spending responsibilities

Enhanced service delivery?

- Ostensible reason in the literature: better preference matching, more effective service provision
- Yardstick competition—modern equivalent, through political process
- But overlapping responsibilities—makes accountability difficult
- Complicated by
- Differential power relationships/inequality
- Incomplete information flows (typical in less developed countries, but also in many OECD countries
- General absence of sub-national local/regional own-source IRAP, Canada GST, Brazil ICMS, India state-level VAT. revenues (most Latin American countries)—exceptions: Italian
- Competition for transfers; including conditional cash transfers

Why do local services not improve?

- What responsibilities?
- Overlapping functional responsibilities
- I oo many levels of government? Links with own-source revenues
- Excessive earmarks
- Creating accountable governments with hard budget constraints?
- Access to own-source revenues, for hard-budget constraints (Ambrosiano and Bordignon, 2006)
- How many levels of government?
- with the center Debt management: limits, bailout policies and coordination
- Standardized budgeting, accounting, reporting and information flows

Political responsibilities or capture?

- Could contradict effective service provision
- Avoiding accountability?
- Local politicians might prefer to avoid being held responsible
- Central government may prefer to use transfers as leverage
- Theoretical solutions: asymmetry; contracts
- Contracts often suggested (Spahn, 2006)
- Not necessarily conducive to greater transparency
- Significant requirements on monitoring of outcomes, performance budgeting at all level of government
- Asymmetry may be an excuse for ad hoc game-play/rent-seeking behavior, but often can provide solutions to intractable problems
- China
- Spain
- Italy
- economic categories (wages, capital, etc...) Disentangle "functions" —such as basic education or health care (in UN COFOG terms, or GFS2001) rather than
- Need for standardized information at all levels of government

Constraints in implementation

- Incomplete decentralization
- Overlapping functions
- Spain and Germany
- Italian constitutional reforms
- Standardized information at all levels of government
- EU requirements where structural reforms are involved
- Scope for additional work in Italy

Case for own-source revenues

Critical role of own-revenues

- Critical for accountability
- Need to be able to adjust rates at the margin, even with centralized administration (Ambrosiano and Bordignon, 2006)
- Canadian options of multiple VATs may not be feasible in the EU legal context
- Italian IRAP being copied elsewhere as an appropriate handle at the intermediate level
- Pressures to remove IRAP and the removal of some property taxes (ICI) may be counterproductive
- Design:
- Consider exporting of taxes to other jurisdictions;
- Administrative considerations;
- Which level creates revenue space, given overall level of "desired
- Revenue-sharing inadequate in providing own-tax handles

Administration

- Subnational administrations for major taxes
- Often found in federal countries: Germany, Canada, India, Brazil
- Can be quite complex (dual VATs; separate income tax arrangements)
- Single administration often much more efficient
- Canada: piggy-backed arrangements in the past
- Italian IRAP for the regions with own-source revenues at the margin better than arrangements that allow no flexibility in

Policy interactions: Taxes and

transfers:

The political-economy of sequencing major reforms

VAT -related reforms

- Centralization of administration, revenue-sharing alternatives (not an effective "local" tax)
- China (1993, stop-loss provisions plus equalization system)
- Australia (2001, linked to the equalization system)
- Mexico (1978-81 complex sharing arrangements, with central pool)
- sharing Decentralization of administration, centralized policy; complex
- Germany: inefficiencies as absence of Laender own-source revenues leads to "administration" game play
- Inefficiencies as administration used to proxy policy
- Inertia: hard to implement additional reforms

Australian VAT

- Sub-national VATs not an option as goods cannot be subject to s/n tax; and services cannot be subject to federal tax
- VAT opposed by the states as it would cut across their taxes and autonomy
- Political economy compromise:
- 1. Decision on federal administration—with tax policy determined by center in consultation with states
- 2. All VAT revenues returned to the states through the existing equalization framework
- Simple and transparent solution
- and in recent years, a simplification of the factors used to calculate the needs and revenue capacities

incentives Conclusions on Tax reforms and

- Desirability of control over rates at the margin
- IRAP Italian style?
- Canadian joint-occupation of bases (HST); or Quebec administration
- Piggy backed options on income taxes
- Scope for stop-loss provisions
- Action on transfers helpful to address gainers and losers

What to do about transfer design?

Equalization and special purpose transfers

Design of transfers: key issues

- Does an equalization system help?
- Can a conditional cash transfer (CCT) system work with imperfect information?
- Categorical tests (disability, work test, clinics and schools) versus asset and income tests
- Costs of administration? Poverty traps and kinks in the effective tax schedule?
- Makes game play more attractive?

Transfer design and Institutions

- Poorly designed transfers/aid could affect incentives decentralized expenditures, 1998) gap-filling transfers problematic (Rao, in Ahmad, *Financing*
- sap incentives to provide services effectively and for accountable behavior
- Design issues
- Equalization frameworks?
- Need for simplification—recent reforms in Australia to simplify factors and information and standardized information
- How to address special purpose transfers? CCTs?
- Institutional framework for agreements
- Grants/Finance Commissions

Some Institutional Comparisons for Untied Grant Distribution

Uganda	Sudan	South Korea	South Africa	Sierra Leone	Philippines	Japan		Italy	India			Ethiopia	Ghana	Denmark	China	Canada	Australia	
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes					Yes				Yes	•			Yes	Is there a separate agency to advise on grants distribution
(707.7)	(MoF)	MoLG MoLG	MoF and		MoF	MoLG	MoLG	MoF and		and MoF	Federation	House of		MoF	MoF	MoF		What Ministry does untied grant distribution work
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes			Yes	N _o	Yes		Yes	Yes	Is local govt involved in grant decisions
ć	Yes			No				Yes				Ÿ O						Are academics involved in a formal way
Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes					N _o				Yes				Yes	Is the agency permanent
Constitution	Constitution		Constitution	Law					Constitution				Constitution				Law	Where a separat Does it operate under the Constitution or a law
Wide	Wide		Wide	Wide					Narrow				Narrow				Narrow	Where a separate agency operates Does it operate What is its under the range of Constitution or functions a law
Small	ľ aroe		Large	Small					Small				Very small				Small	What is the size of the agency

Middle East, Elgar. Source: Bob Searle, 2010, "Revenue sharing and Intergovernmental Transfer Design," in E. Ahmad and A. Al-Faris, Fiscal Reforms in the

information flows and reporting Sub-national governance,

Incentives and Public Financial Management Systems

Perspectives

- Local governance has to be seen in context
- Information and incentives matter
- The mechanics are important, and represent "necessary" conditions, not "sufficient" ones...
- Public financial reforms need to be grounded on
- well designed incentive structures
- Accurate and timely information flows; and
- Sanctions and enforcement mechanisms

Transparency/PFM Objectives

- Who spends what?
- When?
- What happens to the moneys?
- Need timely and verified information
- Deluge of "unverified" information is not necessarily better
- Standardized information important for enhancing local incentive structures, and
- Making equalization transfer systems operational

PFM Reforms

- Moves towards performance budgeting at the central level
- Need to firm up basic "building blocks" of public financial management
- Focus on "minimum requirements"
- Weaknesses in budget classifications and accounting trameworks
- Need to align information systems
- Need to report on general government operations
- Importance of common standards across levels of government
- Either EU requirements for Maastricht or other international standards

incomplete in Italy Central government PFM reforms

- Program classification introduced for 2008 budget, budgeting refined for 2009, in preparation for performance
- 34 high level missions, 168 programs
- But budget voted on 700 line items (unita provisionale di base;
- UPB basic accounting and control unit
- Limited flexibility for managers
- expenditure chapters encumbered by other legislation
- Need for spending review exercises
- 2007 review on Ministry lines, rather than programs

Central government PFM

- Information base on budget execution
- SIOPE only for cash transactions
- Does not contain commitment controls, not quite a GFMIS
- Need for standardized and comparable information across jurisdictions
- Similar or improved information systems needed at all levels of government
- Consistent budget and accounting frameworks, particularly budget classification
- Needed for effective operations of transfer mechanisms as well as apportionment of debt limits

Preconditions for SN borrowing

- Address greater clarity of responsibilities and accountability;
- Sub-national access to own-revenues at margin necessary for enforcement and credibility of hard-budget constraints
- Enhanced CG monitoring; prepare consolidated statements of debt and sustainability
- Effective SN government financial management and administration: greater transparency
- Standardized information essential
- Establish credible credit ratings for sub-national governments

Conclusions

Does the economic crisis make matters worse?

Way forward

- Attempts to clarify responsibilities are steps in the right direction
- Italy has already made progress with regional own-source taxation—same may be needed for local taxation
- Non-distortive set of equalization transfers needed to provide similar levels of service at similar levels of tax effort
- Clearly defined earmarked transfers for central objectives
- Standardized information flows will remain a critical precondition for
- better sub-national governance,
- overall macroeconomic management, and
- the operation of an equalization system
- Any major reforms will need careful sequencing to indemnify losers, and to consolidate political support

